arXiv:1702.07974v1 [math.AP] 26 Feb 2017

INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS IN TRANSVERSALLY ANISOTROPIC GEOMETRIES

KATYA KRUPCHYK AND GUNTHER UHLMANN

Abstract. We study inverse boundary problems for magnetic Schrödinger operators on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary of dimension ≥ 3. In the first part of the paper we are concerned with the case of admissible geometries, i.e. compact Riemannian manifolds with boundary which are conformally embedded in a product of the Euclidean line and a simple manifold. We show that the knowledge of the Cauchy data on the boundary of the manifold for the magnetic Schrödinger operator with L^∞ magnetic and electric potentials, determines the magnetic field and electric potential uniquely.

In the second part of the paper we address the case of more general conformally transversally anisotropic geometries, i.e. compact Riemannian manifolds with boundary which are conformally embedded in a product of the Euclidean line and a compact manifold, which need not be simple. Here, under the assumption that the geodesic ray transform on the transversal manifold is injective, we prove that the knowledge of the Cauchy data on the boundary of the manifold for a magnetic Schrödinger operator with continuous potentials, determines the magnetic field uniquely. Assuming that the electric potential is known, we show that the Cauchy data determines the magnetic potential up to a gauge equivalence.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let (M, g) be a smooth compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary ∂M. Let $A \in C^\infty(M, T^*M)$ be a 1-form with complex valued C^∞ coefficients and let $q \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Let $d : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M, T^*M)$ be the de Rham differential and let us introduce

$$d_A = d + iA : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M, T^*M).$$

In geometric terms, the map d_A can be viewed as a connection on the trivial line bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}$ over M.

When $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$, we introduce the natural L^2–scalar product,

$$(u, v)_{L^2(M)} = \int_M u\overline{v} dV,$$

where dV is the Riemannian volume element on M. Similarly, when $\alpha, \beta \in C^\infty(M, T^*M)$, we define the L^2–scalar product in the space of 1–forms,

$$(\alpha, \beta)_{L^2(M,T^*M)} = \int_M \langle \alpha, \overline{\beta} \rangle_g dV(x),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ is the pointwise scalar product in the space of 1–forms induced by the Riemannian metric g. In local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in which $\alpha = \alpha_j dx^j$, $\beta = \beta_j dx^j$ and (g^{jk}) is the matrix inverse of (g_{jk}), $g = g_{jk} dx^j dx^k$, we have

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_g = g^{jk} \alpha_j \beta_k.$$

Here and in what follows we use Einstein's summation convention: repeated indices in lower and upper positions are summed.

The formal L^2–adjoint $d_A^* : C^\infty(M, T^*M) \to C^\infty(M)$ of d_A is defined by

$$(d_A u, v)_{L^2(M,T^*M)} = (u, d_A^* v)_{L^2(M)}, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(M^0), \quad v \in C_0^\infty(M^0, T^*M^0),$$

where $M^0 = M \setminus \partial M$ stands for the interior of M. We have

$$d_A^* = d^* - i\langle \overline{A}, \cdot \rangle_g.$$

Also in local coordinates, we see that

$$d^* v = -|g|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x_j}(|g|^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{jk} v_k), \tag{1.1}$$

where $|g| = \det(g_{jk})$ and $v = v_j dx^j$.

In this paper we shall be concerned with inverse boundary problems for the magnetic Schrödinger operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g,A,q} u &= (d_{\overline{A}}^* d_A + q)u \\ &= -\Delta u + i d^*(Au) - i\langle A, du\rangle_g + (\langle A, A\rangle_g + q)u, \quad u \in C^\infty(M). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Specifically, our focus is on establishing global uniqueness results in the case when the magnetic potential A is of low regularity. Let us now proceed to introduce the precise assumptions and state the main results of this paper.

In the first part of this paper we assume that $A \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$ and $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. It follows then from (1.2) that

$$L_{g,A,q} : C_0^\infty(M^0) \to H^{-1}(M^0) \tag{1.3}$$

is a bounded operator. Here and in what follows $H^s(M^0)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is the standard Sobolev space on M^0, see [43, Chapter 4], and $d^*(Au) \in H^{-1}(M^0)$ is defined by

$$\langle d^*(Au), \varphi \rangle_{M^0} := \int_M \langle Au, d\varphi \rangle_g dV, \quad u, \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M^0), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{M^0}$ is the distributional duality on M^0.

Let $u \in H^1(M^0)$ be a solution to

$$L_{g,A,q} u = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$. Associated to u is the trace of the magnetic normal derivative $\langle d_A u, \nu \rangle_g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial M)$ defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle d_A u, \nu \rangle_g, f \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} &:= (d_A u, d_{\overline{A}} \overline{v})_{L^2(M)} + (qu, \overline{v})_{L^2(M)} \\ &= \int_M \langle du + iAu, dv - iAv \rangle_g dV + \int_M quv dV, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial M)$ and $v \in H^1(M^0)$ is a continuous extension of f. As u satisfies the equation (1.5), the above definition of the trace $\langle d_A u, \nu \rangle_g$ is independent of the choice of an extension of f. Let us also remark that the definition (1.6) is motivated by the integration by parts formula valid in the case of smooth potentials A and q,

$$(L_{g,A,q} u, v)_{L^2(M)} = (d_A u, d_{\overline{A}} v)_{L^2(M)} + (qu, v)_{L^2(M)} - \int_{\partial M} \langle d_A u, \nu \rangle_g \overline{v} dS, \tag{1.7}$$

where $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$ and ν is the conormal such that $\nu_j = g_{jk} \nu^k$ with $\nu = \nu^k \partial_{x_k}$ being the unit outer normal to ∂M.

We shall next introduce the set of the Cauchy data for solutions of the magnetic Schrödinger equation given by

$$C_{g,A,q} := \{(u|_{\partial M}, \langle d_A u, \nu \rangle_g|_{\partial M}) : u \in H^1(M^0) \text{ satisfies } L_{g,A,q} u = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(M^0)\}.$$

The inverse boundary value problem for the magnetic Schrödinger operator that we are interested in is to determine A and q in M from the knowledge of the set of the Cauchy data $C_{g,A,q}$. A well-known feature of this problem is that there is an obstruction to uniqueness given by the following class of gauge transformations, see [40], [9]. Let $F \in W^{1,\infty}(M^0)$ be a non-vanishing function. For any $u \in H^1(M^0)$, we have

$$(F^{-1} \circ L_{g,A,q} \circ F) u = L_{g,A-iF^{-1}dF,q} u,$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$. Furthermore, if $F|_{\partial M} = 1$, a computation using (1.6) shows that

$$C_{g,A,q} = C_{g,A-iF^{-1}dF,q}.$$

Hence, from the knowledge of the set of the Cauchy data $C_{g,A,q}$ one may only hope to recover the magnetic field dA and electric potential q in M uniquely, and the magnetic potential itself up to a gauge transformation.

The inverse boundary problem for the magnetic Schrödinger operator was studied extensively in the Euclidian setting with the goal of obtaining global uniqueness results under the minimal possible regularity assumptions on A. First in [40], the global uniqueness was established for magnetic potentials in $W^{2,\infty}$, satisfying a smallness condition. In [28], the smallness condition was eliminated for smooth magnetic and electric potentials, and for compactly supported C^2 magnetic potentials and L^∞ electric potentials. The uniqueness results were subsequently extended to C^1 magnetic potentials in [44], to some less regular but small potentials in [29], and to Dini continuous magnetic potentials in [34]. To the best of our knowledge, the sharpest results are obtained in [23] for $A \in L^\infty$ and $q \in L^\infty$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, and in [15] for small $A \in W^{s,3}$ and $q \in W^{-1,3}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, for $s > 0$.

Let us now turn the attention to the setting of smooth compact Riemannian manifolds. Here a powerful method to study inverse boundary problems based on the technique of Carleman estimates with limiting Carleman weights was developed in [9]. The concept of a limiting Carleman weight was first introduced and applied to inverse boundary problems in the Euclidean setting in [19]. An important result of [9] states that on a simply connected open manifold, the existence of a limiting Carleman weight is equivalent to the existence of a parallel unit vector field for a conformal multiple of the metric. Locally, the latter condition is equivalent to the fact that the manifold is conformal to the product of a Euclidean interval and some Riemannian manifold of dimension $n-1$. Now it turns out that the existence of a limiting Carleman weight does not in itself suffice to solve the inverse boundary problem, and further conditions should be introduced on the transversal $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold. Following [9], let us give the following definitions.

Definition 1.1. *A compact manifold (M_0, g_0) with boundary is called simple if for any $p \in M_0$, the exponential map $\exp_p$ with its maximal domain of definition in T_pM_0 is a diffeomorphism onto M_0, and if ∂M_0 is strictly convex in the sense that the second fundamental form of $\partial M_0 \hookrightarrow M_0$ is positive definite.*

Definition 1.2. *A compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary ∂M is called admissible if there exists an $(n-1)$–dimensional compact simple manifold (M_0, g_0) such that $M \subset \mathbb{R} \times M_0$ and $g = c(e \oplus g_0)$ where e is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}$ and c is a positive smooth function on M.*

Remark. It well known that a simple manifold M_0 enjoys the following geometric and dynamical properties, see [9], [13]. First, since the maximal domain of $\exp_p$ is starshaped, M_0 is diffeomorphic to a closed ball, and in particular, M_0 is simply connected. Furthermore, M_0 has no conjugate points and no trapped geodesics, i.e. geodesics entirely contained in M_0^0, and between any two boundary points $x, x' \in \partial M_0$ there is a unique geodesic in M_0 with the endpoints x, x'.

An example of a simple manifold is a spherical cap strictly smaller than the northern hemisphere,

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1}_{\geq \alpha_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : x_n \geq \alpha_0\}, \quad 0 < \alpha_0 < 1,$$

while the hemisphere itself is not a simple manifold.

Turning the attention to admissible manifolds, as explained in [9], examples include bounded smooth domains in the Euclidean space, in the sphere minus a point and in the hyperbolic space, sufficiently small subdomains of any conformally flat manifold, and bounded smooth domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with a metric of the form,

$$g(x_1, x') = c(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_0(x') \end{pmatrix},$$

where c is a positive smooth function and g_0 is a simple metric in the x' variables.

Starting with the fundamental work [42], a basic strategy for establishing global uniqueness in inverse boundary problems for elliptic equations consists in constructing complex geometric optics solutions for the equations in question. It turns out that the setting of admissible manifolds is particularly well adapted to such constructions as the eikonal and transport equations can be solved globally in suitable global coordinates on an admissible manifold, once the limiting Carleman weight, governing the exponential growth and decay of the solutions, has been chosen. To conclude the proof of a global uniqueness result in the context of admissible manifolds, it turns out that one should invert an attenuated geodesic ray transform on the transversal manifold. Thanks to the work [9], extending the previous results of [1], [27], [36] and [37], the injectivity of the latter transform is known in the case of simple manifolds.

The inverse boundary problem for the magnetic Schrödinger operator on admissible manifolds was studied in [9] and the global uniqueness was established for C^∞ electric and magnetic potentials. To the best of our knowledge, the problem of weakening the regularity assumptions on the potentials in the context of admissible manifolds was only addressed in [8] when $A = 0$ and $q \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$. The first result of our paper is a global uniqueness result on admissible manifolds for electric and magnetic potentials that are merely bounded. It can be viewed as an analog of our previous result [23] in the Euclidean case, in the setting of admissible manifolds.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g) be admissible. Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$ be complex valued 1-forms, and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. If $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$, then $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$.*

Let us point out that the main difficulty in proving Theorem 1.3 is due to the fact that when $A \in L^\infty$, the operator $L_{g,A,q}$ has singular coefficients, see (1.2) and (1.3). To overcome this difficulty when constructing complex geometric optics solutions to the magnetic Schrödinger equation, we shall first prove a Carleman estimate with a gain of two derivatives on a manifold supporting a limiting Carleman weight, and use it to construct complex geometric optics solutions on an admissible manifold. When doing so, we also rely crucially on a smoothing argument, approximating the L^∞ magnetic potential by smooth 1-forms in the L^2 sense. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, we exploit a result related to the injectivity of the attenuated ray transform acting in the space of L^∞ functions and L^∞ 1-forms, established in [8, Proposition 5.1] and [2, Proposition 5.1]. In [8] and [2], in order to circumvent the difficulty related to the fact that L^∞ functions and forms cannot be restricted to geodesics, the authors use duality arguments and the ellipticity of the normal operator, associated to the attenuated geodesic ray transform.

In the second part of the paper, following [10], we are concerned with removing the simplicity assumption on the transversal manifold M_0. To that end, we have the following definition.

Definition 1.4. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary. We say that (M, g) is conformally transversally anisotropic if there exists a smooth compact Riemannian manifold (M_0, g_0) with smooth boundary of dimension $n-1$ such that (M, g) is conformally embedded into a manifold of the form $(\mathbb{R} \times M_0, e \oplus g_0)$.*

An important role in what follows is played by the geodesic ray transform on the transversal manifold (M_0, g_0) and let us proceed to recall its definition following [13], [9]. The geodesics on M_0 can be parametrized by points on the unit sphere bundle $SM_0 = \{(x, \xi) \in TM_0 : |\xi| = 1\}$. Let

$$\partial_\pm SM_0 = \{(x, \xi) \in SM_0 : x \in \partial M_0, \pm\langle \xi, \nu(x)\rangle > 0\},$$

be the incoming $(-)$ and outgoing $(+)$ boundaries of SM_0. Here ν is the unit outer normal vector field to ∂M_0. Here and in what follows $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality between T^*M_0 and TM_0.

Let $(x, \xi) \in \partial_- SM_0$ and let $\gamma = \gamma_{x,\xi}(t)$ be the geodesic on M_0 such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\dot\gamma(0) = \xi$. Let us denote by $\tau(x, \xi)$ the first time when the geodesic γ exits M_0 with the convention that $\tau(x, \xi) = +\infty$

if the geodesic does not exit M_0. We define the incoming tail by

$$\Gamma_- = \{(x,\xi) \in \partial_- SM_0 : \tau(x,\xi) = +\infty\}.$$

When $f \in C(M_0, \mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha \in C(M_0, T^*M_0)$ is a complex valued 1-form, we define the geodesic ray transform on (M_0, g_0) as follows

$$I(f,\alpha)(x,\xi) = \int_0^{\tau(x,\xi)} \big[f(\gamma_{x,\xi}(t)) + \langle \alpha(\gamma_{x,\xi}(t)), \dot\gamma_{x,\xi}(t)\rangle\big]\, dt, \quad (x,\xi) \in \partial_- SM_0 \setminus \Gamma_-.$$

A unit speed geodesic segment $\gamma = \gamma_{x,\xi} : [0, \tau(x,\xi)] \to M_0$, $\tau(x,\xi) > 0$, is called non-tangential if $\gamma(0), \gamma(\tau(x,\xi)) \in \partial M_0$, $\dot\gamma(0), \dot\gamma(\tau(x,\xi))$ are non-tangential vectors on ∂M_0, and $\gamma(t) \in M_0^0$ for all $0 < t < \tau(x,\xi)$.

Assumption 1. *We assume that the geodesic ray transform on (M_0, g_0) is injective in the sense that if $I(f,\alpha)(x,\xi) = 0$ for all $(x,\xi) \in \partial_- SM_0 \setminus \Gamma_-$ such that $\gamma_{x,\xi}$ is a non-tangential geodesic then $f = 0$ and $\alpha = dp$ in M_0 for some $p \in C^1(M_0, \mathbb{C})$ with $p|_{\partial M_0} = 0$.*

The second principal result of this paper is the following generalization of [10] and [5] to the case of continuous magnetic potentials. In [10] it is assumed that $A = 0$ and q is continuous, while in [5] one considers $A \in C^\infty$ and $q = 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M, g) be a conformally transversally anisotropic manifold such that Assumption 1 holds for the transversal manifold. Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ be complex valued 1-forms, and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. If $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$, then $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$. Assuming furthermore that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$, we have*

$$A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} - iF^{-1}dF,$$

for some $F \in C^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ non-vanishing with $F|_{\partial M} = 1$.

Let us now proceed to give some examples of non-simple manifolds satisfying Assumption 1.

- In [45], [39] the injectivity of the geodesic ray transform is obtained when M_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold with strictly convex boundary, foliated by strictly convex hypersurfaces Σ_t, $0 \le t < T$, such that $M_0 \setminus \cup_{t\in[0,T)}\Sigma_t$ has measure zero, for $f \in L^2(M_0)$ and $\alpha \in L^2(M_0, T^*M_0)$. Furthermore, if $M_0 \setminus \cup_{t\in[0,T)}\Sigma_t$ has empty interior the injectivity holds for $f \in C(M_0)$ and $\alpha \in C(M_0, T^*M_0)$.
- In [13] the injectivity of the geodesic ray transform is established when M_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold with strictly convex boundary such that the geodesic flow has no conjugate points and the trapped set is hyperbolic, and for $f \in L^p(M_0) + H^{-1/2}_{\rm comp}(M_0^0)$ with $p > 2$ and $\alpha \in C^\infty(M_0, T^*M_0) + H^{-1/2}_{\rm comp}(M_0, T^*M_0)$. As an example of such manifold M_0 one can consider a manifold with negative sectional curvature and strictly convex boundary.

When proving Theorem 1.5, we still exploit the existence of the natural Carleman weight $\varphi(x) = x_1$ on M and the corresponding Carleman estimate with a gain of two derivatives. On the other hand, since the transversal manifold M_0 need not be simple, complex geometric optics solutions can no longer easily be constructed by means of a global WKB method. Following [10], when constructing complex geometric optics solutions, we replace global WKB quasimodes by Gaussian beam quasimodes, localized near non-tangential geodesics on the transversal manifold. As we know from the Euclidean case [23], for the solution of the inverse problem, it suffices to construct $o(h)$–quasimodes for the semiclassically rescaled conjugated magnetic Schrödinger operator, and we carry out this construction for continuous magnetic potentials, combining the techniques of [10] with those based on regularization. Exploiting the concentration properties of the corresponding complex geometric optics solutions together with Assumption 1, we conclude, similarly to [5], that $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$. Here we also make use of a boundary reconstruction result for continuous magnetic potentials.

Finally, assuming that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$, using parallel transport along loops in M, boundary reconstruction of the magnetic potential, and unique continuation arguments, as in [14] and [5], we show that the fluxes of the magnetic potentials $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ satisfy

$$\int_\gamma (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

when γ is a loop on M, allowing us to construct the gauge F and thus, obtain the second statement in Theorem 1.5.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we derive Carleman estimates with a gain of two derivatives, leading to a solvability result for the conjugated magnetic Schrödinger operator. Complex geometric optics solutions for the magnetic Schrödinger operator with bounded potentials on an admissible manifold are constructed in Section 3, and the proof of Theorem 1.3 is concluded in Section 4. Section 5 is concerned with the construction of Gaussian beam quasimodes on a conformally transversally anisotropic manifold, and in Section 6 complex geometric optics solutions on such manifolds are obtained. The magnetic field is determined in Section 7, thereby establishing the first part of Theorem 1.5. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is concluded in Section 8 where the existence of a gauge is proved. Finally, in Appendix A the boundary determination of a continuous magnetic potential on a compact manifold with boundary, from the set of the Cauchy data, is shown.

2. Carleman estimates with a gain of two derivatives

The purpose of this section is to prove a Carleman estimates with a gain of two derivatives for $-h^2\Delta$ on a Riemannian manifold admitting limiting Carleman weights. This can be viewed as an extension of [35, Lemma 2.1] from the Euclidean setting to that of Riemannian manifolds.

Let (M, g) be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with boundary. Assume that (M, g) is embedded in a compact smooth manifold (N, g) without boundary of the same dimension, and let U be open in N such that $M \subset U$. In the discussion below it will be convenient to rely on the standard calculus of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators on N, obtained by quantizing the Kohn–Nirenberg symbol classes $S^m(T^*N)$, given by

$$S^m(T^*N) = \{a(x,\xi;h) \in C^\infty(T^*N) : |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x,\xi;h)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-|\beta|}\},$$

$0 < h \leq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. The local formula for the standard h-quantization,

$$\mathrm{Op}_h(a)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n}\int\int e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi}a(x,\xi;h)u(y)dyd\xi, \quad a \in S^m, \tag{2.1}$$

defines a class of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators on N which will be denoted by $\Psi^m(N)$. We shall fix a choice of the quantization map

$$\mathrm{Op}_h : S^m(T^*N) \to \Psi^m(N),$$

given by (2.1) in local coordinate charts. We refer to [46, Chapter 14] for the semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus on N.

Let us also recall Gårding's inequality, which plays an important role below, see [24]. When doing so, we let $H^s(N)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, be the standard Sobolev space, equipped with the natural semiclassical norm,

$$\|u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} = \|(1 - h^2\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u\|_{L^2(N)}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p \in S^m(T^*N)$ be such that there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Re}\, p(x,\xi) \geq \frac{1}{C}\langle\xi\rangle^m, \quad (x,\xi) \in T^*N.$$

Then there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that for $h \in (0, h_0]$, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(\mathrm{Op}_h(p)u, u)_{L^2(N)} \geq \frac{1}{2C}\|u\|^2_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)},$$

for all $u \in C^\infty(N)$.

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(U,\mathbb{R})$ and let us consider the conjugated operator

$$P_\varphi = e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}} = -h^2\Delta - |\nabla\varphi|^2 + 2\langle\nabla\varphi, h\nabla\rangle + h\Delta\varphi, \tag{2.2}$$

with the semiclassical principal symbol

$$p_\varphi = |\xi|^2 - |d\varphi|^2 + 2i\langle\xi, d\varphi\rangle \in C^\infty(T^*U). \tag{2.3}$$

Here and in what follows we use $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ to denote the Riemannian scalar product and norm both on the tangent and cotangent space.

When $(x,\xi) \in T^*M$ and $|\xi| \geq C \gg 1$, we have that $|p_\varphi(x,\xi)| \sim |\xi|^2$ so that P_φ is elliptic at infinity in the semiclassical sense. Following [19], [9], we say that $\varphi \in C^\infty(U,\mathbb{R})$ is a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ on (U,g) if $d\varphi \neq 0$ on U, and the Poisson bracket of $\operatorname{Re} p_\varphi$ and $\operatorname{Im} p_\varphi$ satisfies,

$$\{\operatorname{Re} p_\varphi, \operatorname{Im} p_\varphi\} = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad p_\varphi = 0.$$

We refer to [9] for a characterization of Riemannian manifolds admitting limiting Carleman weights.

The following is the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.2. *Let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ on (U,g) and let $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi + \frac{h}{2\varepsilon}\varphi^2$. Then for all $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq C\|e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \quad C > 0, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$.

Proof. We shall first establish (2.4) in the case $s = 0$. Let us explain that when doing so we can assume that the limiting Carleman weight φ on (U,g) is also a distance function in the sense that

$$|\nabla\varphi| = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Indeed, by [9, Lemma 2.1] we know that φ is a limiting Carleman weight on the conformal manifold (U,cg) with $0 < c \in C^\infty(U)$. Taking $c = |\nabla_g\varphi|_g^2$, we see that $|\nabla_{cg}\varphi|_{cg} = 1$, and hence, the Carleman weight φ is also a distance function on (U,cg). Assume now that we have proved that for all $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\|u\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq C\|e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}(-h^2\Delta_{cg})e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u\|_{L^2(N)}, \quad C > 0, \tag{2.6}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$. By the conformal properties of the Laplace–Beltrami operator, we have

$$c^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}(-\Delta_g)(c^{\frac{(n-2)}{4}}u) = -\Delta_{cg}u + q_c u, \quad q_c = c^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}(-\Delta_g)(c^{\frac{(n-2)}{4}}) \in C^\infty(U). \tag{2.7}$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain (2.6) for the operator $-h^2\Delta_g$.

In what follows when proving (2.4) in the case $s = 0$, we assume therefore that the limiting Carleman weight φ satisfies (2.5). In view of (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} p_\varphi &= |\xi|^2 - |d\varphi|^2 = |\xi^\sharp|^2 - |\nabla\varphi|^2, \\ \operatorname{Im} p_\varphi &= 2\langle\xi, d\varphi\rangle = 2\langle\nabla\varphi, \xi^\sharp\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the vector field $\xi^\sharp$ is given by $\xi^\sharp = g^{jk}\xi_j\partial_{x_k}$ in local coordinates.

By [9, Lemma 2.3], we have

$$\{\operatorname{Re} p_\varphi, \operatorname{Im} p_\varphi\}(x,\xi) = 4D^2\varphi(\nabla\varphi,\nabla\varphi) + 4D^2\varphi(\xi^\sharp,\xi^\sharp), \tag{2.9}$$

where $D^2\varphi$ is the Hessian of φ. Recall from [9, Appendix] that the Hessian of a smooth function φ is the symmetric $(2,0)$–tensor $D^2\varphi = Dd\varphi$, where D is the Levi–Civita connection on (M,g).

Since φ is both a Carleman weight and a distance function, it follows from [9, Lemma 2.5] that the Hessian satisfies

$$D^2\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad U,$$

and thus, (2.9) implies that $\{\operatorname{Re} p_\varphi, \operatorname{Im} p_\varphi\}(x,\xi) = 0$ on T^*U.

Consider now $\widetilde{\varphi}$ instead of φ. We have

$$\nabla\widetilde{\varphi} = \left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)\nabla\varphi,$$
$$D^2\widetilde{\varphi} = \frac{h}{\varepsilon} d\varphi \otimes d\varphi + \left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right) D^2\varphi = \frac{h}{\varepsilon} d\varphi \otimes d\varphi.$$

Therefore, using (2.8), we get

$$\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}} = |\xi^\sharp|^2 - \left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^2 |\nabla\varphi|^2,$$
$$\operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}} = 2\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)\langle \nabla\varphi, \xi^\sharp\rangle,$$

and using (2.9) and the fact that $|\nabla\varphi| = 1$, we also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, \operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\}(x,\xi) &= 4\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^2 (d\varphi \otimes d\varphi)(\nabla\varphi, \nabla\varphi) + 4\frac{h}{\varepsilon}(d\varphi \otimes d\varphi)(\xi^\sharp, \xi^\sharp) \\
&= 4\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^2 |\nabla\varphi|^4 + 4\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\langle \nabla\varphi, \xi^\sharp\rangle^2 \qquad (2.10) \\
&= 4\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^2 + \beta(\operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\beta = \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^{-2},$$

and we choose $\frac{h}{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon_0 < 1$ with ε_0 small enough so that $(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on M.

Let

$$P_{\widetilde{\varphi}} = e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} = -h^2\Delta - |\nabla\widetilde{\varphi}|^2 + 2\langle \nabla\widetilde{\varphi}, h\nabla\rangle + h\Delta\widetilde{\varphi} = \widetilde{A} + i\widetilde{B}, \qquad (2.11)$$

where $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ are formally self-adjoint operators on $L^2(U)$ given by

$$\widetilde{A} = -h^2\Delta - |\nabla\widetilde{\varphi}|^2, \quad \widetilde{B} = -2i\langle \nabla\widetilde{\varphi}, h\nabla\rangle - ih\Delta\widetilde{\varphi}. \qquad (2.12)$$

Letting $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$, and integrating by parts, we get

$$\|P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}u\|^2_{L^2(M)} = \|\widetilde{A}u\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\widetilde{B}u\|^2_{L^2(M)} + i([\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}]u, u)_{L^2(M)}. \qquad (2.13)$$

We have

$$i[\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}] = h\operatorname{Op}_h(\{\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, \operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\}) + h^2 R_1, \qquad (2.14)$$

where $R_1 = \operatorname{Op}_h(r_1)$ with $r_1 \in S^1$ uniformly in h and ε in view of (2.11) and (2.12). Using (2.10), we can write

$$h\{\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, \operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\} = 4\frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^2 + h\beta(\operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2 = \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}d + h\beta(\operatorname{Im} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2 - \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}(\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2, \qquad (2.15)$$

where the symbol

$$d = 4\left(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi\right)^2 + (\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2 \in S^4(T^*U),$$

satisfies

$$d(x,\xi) \geq \frac{1}{C_0}\langle\xi\rangle^4, \quad (x,\xi) \in T^*\widetilde{U}$$

with $C_0 > 0$ independent of ε and h. Here $M \subset\subset \widetilde{U} \subset\subset U$, $\widetilde{U}$ is open. This follows from the fact that the symbol $(\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2$ is elliptic for $|\xi|$ large.

An application of Gårding's inequality, Theorem 2.1, allows us to conclude that

$$(\operatorname{Op}_h(d)u, u)_{L^2(N)} \geq \frac{1}{2C_0}\|u\|^2_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(M^0), \tag{2.16}$$

for all $0 < h < 1$ small enough.

Using (2.14), (2.15), and the fact that

$$\widetilde{A}^2 = \operatorname{Op}_h((\operatorname{Re} p_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^2) + hR_2,$$

where $R_2 = \operatorname{Op}_h(r_2)$ with $r_2 \in S^3$ uniformly in h and ε, we obtain that

$$i[\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}] = \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}\operatorname{Op}_h(d) + h\widetilde{B}\beta\widetilde{B} - \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{A}^2 - hR_2) + h^2R_3, \tag{2.17}$$

where $R_3 = \operatorname{Op}_h(r_3)$ with $r_3 \in S^1$ uniformly in h and ε.

Using (2.13), (2.16), and (2.17), we get that for all $0 < h < 1$ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}u\|^2_{L^2(N)} \geq{}& \frac{h^2}{2C_0\varepsilon}\|u\|^2_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} + \|\widetilde{A}u\|^2_{L^2(N)} + \|\widetilde{B}u\|^2_{L^2(N)} - \mathcal{O}(h)\|\widetilde{B}u\|^2_{L^2(N)} \\ &- \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}\|\widetilde{A}u\|^2_{L^2(N)} - \mathcal{O}(h^2)\|u\|^2_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Here we have used that

$$|(R_ju, u)_{L^2(N)}| \leq \|R_ju\|_{H^{-2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}\|u\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq \mathcal{O}(1)\|u\|^2_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \quad j = 2, 3,$$

uniformly in h.

Now we conclude from (2.18) that for all $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$\|P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}u\|^2_{L^2(N)} \geq \frac{h^2}{C\varepsilon}\|u\|^2_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(M^0). \tag{2.19}$$

This completes the proof of (2.4) in the case $s = 0$.

We shall next establish (2.4) for an arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In doing so let us set

$$J^s = (1 - h^2\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

defined by means of the spectral theorem, and let us recall the basic fact that $J^s \in \operatorname{Op}_h(S^s(T^*N))$, see [38, Proposition 10.1]. We then have the following pseudolocal estimate: if $\psi, \chi \in C^\infty(N)$ with $\chi = 1$ near $\operatorname{supp}(\psi)$ and if $s, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(1 - \chi)J^s\psi = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : H^\alpha_{\mathrm{scl}}(N) \to H^\beta_{\mathrm{scl}}(N). \tag{2.20}$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ be such that $\chi = 1$ near M. Then using (2.19) for functions supported on a slightly larger set than M^0, as well as (2.20), we have for all $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}h\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} &\leq h\|\chi J^su\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} + h\|(1 - \chi)J^su\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})\|P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\chi J^su\|_{L^2(N)} + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})\big(\|\chi P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}J^su\|_{L^2(N)} + \|[P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, \chi]J^su\|_{L^2(N)}\big) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})\|\chi P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}J^su\|_{L^2(N)} + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Here we have used that

$$\|[P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, \chi]J^su\|_{L^2(N)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)},$$

which is a consequence of the pseudolocality (2.20). By absorbing the error term $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}$ in the left hand side of (2.21), for all $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, we get

$$h\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})(\|\chi J^s P_{\widetilde{\varphi}} u\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\chi[P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, J^s]u\|_{L^2(N)}). \tag{2.22}$$

When estimating the last term in (2.22), we extend φ smoothly outside of U, and use the fact that $\chi[P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, J^s] \in h\mathrm{Op}_h(S^{s+1}(T^*N))$. Hence, for all $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$\chi[P_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, J^s] = \mathcal{O}(h) : H^{s+1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N) \to L^2(N). \tag{2.23}$$

It follows from (2.22) and (2.23) that

$$h\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})\|J^s P_{\widetilde{\varphi}} u\|_{L^2(N)} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}h)\|u\|_{H^{s+1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)},$$

and hence,

$$h\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})\|P_{\widetilde{\varphi}} u\|_{H^{s}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

We shall next state the following Carleman estimate for the magnetic Schrödinger operator, which generalizes [23, Proposition 2.2] to the Riemannian setting.

Proposition 2.3. *Let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ on (U, g) and let $A \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$, $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Then for all $0 < h \ll 1$, we have*

$$h\|u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \leq C\|e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(h^2 L_{g,A,q})e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \quad C > 0, \tag{2.24}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$.

Proof. To prove the estimate (2.24) we shall use the following characterization of the semiclassical norm in the Sobolev space $H^{-1}(N)$,

$$\|v\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} = \sup_{0 \neq \psi \in C^\infty(N)} \frac{|\langle v, \psi\rangle_N|}{\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}}. \tag{2.25}$$

Let $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi + \frac{h}{2\varepsilon}\varphi^2$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, and let $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$. Then for all $0 \neq \psi \in C^\infty(N)$, using (1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} h^2 d^*(Ae^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u), \psi\rangle_N| &= \left| h^2 \int_N \langle Ae^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u, d(e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}\psi)\rangle_g dV \right| \\ &\leq h^2 \int_N |\langle A, d\psi\rangle_g u| dV + h\int_N |\langle A, d\widetilde{\varphi}\rangle_g u\psi| dV \leq \mathcal{O}(h)\|u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} h^2 \langle A, d(e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u)\rangle_g, \psi\rangle_N| &\leq h^2 \int_N |\langle A, du\rangle_g \psi| dV + h\int_N |\langle A, d\widetilde{\varphi}\rangle_g u\psi| dV \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(h)\|u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$|\langle e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} h^2(\langle A, A\rangle_g^2 + q)e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u, \psi\rangle_N| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2)\|u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}, \tag{2.28}$$

Using (2.25), it follows from (2.26), (2.27), and (2.28), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} h^2 i d^*(Ae^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u) - ie^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} h^2(A, d(e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u)) + e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}} h^2(\langle A, A\rangle_g^2 + q)e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \\ \leq \mathcal{O}(h)\|u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small but fixed, i.e. independent of h, we obtain from (2.4) with $s = -1$ and (2.29) that for all $h > 0$ small enough and $u \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$,

$$\|e^{\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}(h^2 L_{g,A,q})e^{-\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{h}}u\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)} \geq \frac{h}{C}\|u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(N)},$$

which implies (2.24). □

To construct complex geometric optics solutions for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $L_{g,A,q}$ we need to convert the Carleman estimate (2.24) for the adjoint $L_{g,\overline{A},\overline{q}}$ into the following solvability result. Here we also use the fact that if φ is a limiting Carleman weight then so is $-\varphi$ and we make use of the following semiclassical Sobolev norms on M^0,

$$\|u\|^2_{H^1_{\rm scl}(M^0)} = \|u\|^2_{L^2(M^0)} + \|h\nabla_g u\|^2_{L^2(M^0)},$$

and

$$\|u\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(M^0)} = \sup_{0\neq\psi\in C_0^\infty(M^0)} \frac{|\langle u,\psi\rangle_{M^0}|}{\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\rm scl}(M^0)}}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$, $q \in L^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$, and let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ on (U,g). If $h>0$ is small enough, then for any $v \in H^{-1}(M^0)$, there is a solution $u \in H^1(M^0)$ of the equation*

$$e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(h^2 L_{g,A,q})e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u = v \quad in \quad M^0,$$

which satisfies

$$\|u\|_{H^1_{\rm scl}(M^0)} \leq \frac{C}{h}\|v\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(M^0)}.$$

The proof of this result is well-known and we refer to [9] and [23] for the details.

3. Complex geometric optics solutions in admissible geometries

Let (M,g) be an admissible manifold. Then we know that (M,g) is isometrically embedded in $(\mathbb{R}\times M_0, c(e\oplus g_0))$ for some compact simple $(n-1)$–dimensional manifold (M_0,g_0) and some $0<c\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times M_0)$. Assume, after replacing M_0 by a slightly larger simple manifold if needed, that for some simple manifold $(D,g_0)\subset\subset(M_0^0,g_0)$ one has

$$(M,g)\subset\subset(\mathbb{R}\times D^0, c(e\oplus g_0))\subset\subset(\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0, c(e\oplus g_0)). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $A\in L^\infty(M,T^*M)$ and $q\in L^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. It will be convenient to extend A and q to all of $\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0$ by taking the zero extension. We shall denote the extensions of A and q by the same letters. Then $A\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0, T^*(\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0))$ is compactly supported, and using a partition of unity argument together with a regularization in each coordinate patch, we get the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a family $A_\tau \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0, T^*(\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0))$, $\tau>0$, such that*

$$\|A-A_\tau\|_{L^2} = o(1), \quad \tau\to 0, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\|A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\nabla A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}), \quad \|\Delta A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \quad \tau\to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

We have global coordinates $x=(x_1,x')$ on $\mathbb{R}\times M_0$ in which the metric g has the form

$$g(x) = c(x)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_0(x')\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $c>0$ and g_0 is a simple metric on M_0. Then the globally defined function

$$\varphi(x) = x_1 \tag{3.5}$$

on M is a limiting Carleman weight.

We shall construct solutions to

$$L_{g,A,q}u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad M^0 \tag{3.6}$$

of the form

$$u = e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}(a+r_0), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\rho = \varphi + i\psi$ is a complex phase, $\psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, $a \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ is an amplitude, obtained by a WKB construction and r_0 is a remainder term. We get

$$e^{\frac{\rho}{h}} \circ (-h^2\Delta) \circ e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}} = -h^2\Delta + h\Delta\rho + 2h\nabla\rho - |\nabla\rho|^2,$$

where $\nabla\rho$ is a complex vector field, and $|\nabla\rho|^2 = \langle \nabla\rho, \nabla\rho\rangle$ is computed using the bilinear extension of the Riemannian scalar product to the complexified tangent bundle. We also have

$$e^{\frac{\rho}{h}} ih^2 d^*(Ae^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}u) = ih^2 d^*(Au) + ih\langle A, d\rho\rangle_g u,$$

and

$$-ih^2 e^{\frac{\rho}{h}}\langle A, d(e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}u)\rangle_g = -ih^2\langle A, du\rangle_g + ih\langle A, d\rho\rangle_g u,$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{\rho}{h}} h^2 L_{g,A,q}(e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}u) = &-h^2\Delta u + h(\Delta\rho)u + 2h\nabla\rho(u) - |\nabla\rho|^2 u \\ &- ih^2\langle A, du\rangle_g + 2ih\langle A, d\rho\rangle_g u + ih^2 d^*(Au) + h^2(\langle A, A\rangle_g + q)u. \end{aligned}$$

In order that (3.7) be a solution to (3.6), following the WKB method, we require that the complex phase ρ satisfies the eikonal equation,

$$|\nabla\rho|^2 = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

and the amplitude a satisfies the regularized transport equation,

$$(2i\langle A_\tau, d\rho\rangle_g + 2\nabla\rho)a + (\Delta\rho)a = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

The remainder term r_0 will be then determined by solving the equation,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{\rho}{h}} h^2 L_{g,A,q}(e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}r_0) = -(&-h^2\Delta a - ih^2\langle A, da\rangle_g + 2ih\langle A - A_\tau, d\rho\rangle_g a + ih^2 d^*(Aa) \\ &+ h^2(\langle A, A\rangle_g + q)a). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

As φ is given in (3.5), the eikonal equation (3.8) becomes a pair of equations for ψ,

$$|\nabla\psi|^2 = |\nabla\varphi|^2, \quad \langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla\psi\rangle = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\nabla\varphi = \frac{1}{c}\partial_{x_1}, \quad |\nabla\varphi|^2 = \frac{1}{c}. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$|\nabla\psi|^2 = \frac{1}{c}, \quad \partial_{x_1}\psi = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $\omega \in D$ be a point such that $(x_1, \omega) \notin M$ for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. We have the global coordinates on M given by $x = (x_1, r, \theta)$, where (r, θ) are the polar normal coordinates in (D, g_0) with center ω, i.e. $x' = \exp^D_\omega(r\theta)$ where $r > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Here $\exp^D_\omega$ is the exponential map which takes its maximal domain in $T_\omega D$ diffeomorphically onto D, since D is simple. In these coordinates the metric g has the form,

$$g(x_1, r, \theta) = c(x_1, r, \theta)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m(r, \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.14}$$

where m is a smooth positive definite matrix. Hence, the eikonal equation (3.13) has a global solution

$$\psi(x) = \psi_\omega(x) = r.$$

Hence,

$$\rho = x_1 + ir,$$

and therefore, the vector field

$$\nabla\rho = \frac{2}{c}\overline{\partial},$$

where

$$\overline{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_r).$$

We also get

$$\Delta\rho = |g|^{-1/2}\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{|g|^{1/2}}{c}\right) + i|g|^{-1/2}\partial_r\left(\frac{|g|^{1/2}}{c}\right) = \frac{1}{c}\overline{\partial}\log\left(\frac{|g|}{c^2}\right).$$

Thus, the transport equation (3.9) has the form,

$$4\overline{\partial}a + \left(\overline{\partial}\log\left(\frac{|g|}{c^2}\right)\right)a + 2i((A_\tau)_1 + i(A_\tau)_r)a = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Following [9], we choose a solution of (3.15) in the form,

$$a = |g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{i\Phi_\tau}a_0(x_1, r)b(\theta),$$

where Φ_τ is a solution of

$$\overline{\partial}\Phi_\tau = -\frac{1}{2}((A_\tau)_1 + i(A_\tau)_r), \tag{3.16}$$

a_0 is a non-vanishing holomorphic function,

$$\overline{\partial}a_0 = 0,$$

and $b(\theta)$ is smooth. The equation (3.16) is given in the global coordinates (x_1, r), and using the standard fundamental solution $1/(\pi(x_1 + ir)) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the $\overline{\partial}$ operator, we can take

$$\Phi_\tau(x_1, r, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\pi(x_1 + ir)} * ((A_\tau)_1 + i(A_\tau)_r), \tag{3.17}$$

with $*$ denoting the convolution in the variables (x_1, r) and $A_\tau(\cdot, \cdot, \theta)$ being viewed as a compactly supported smooth 1-form in the complex $x_1 + ir$ plane.

In (3.17) we are interested the solution Φ_τ when x_1, r vary in a bounded region and therefore, using (3.3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\tau\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\nabla\Phi_\tau\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}), \\ \|\Delta\Phi_\tau\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \quad \tau \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Setting

$$\Phi(x_1, r, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\pi(x_1 + ir)} * (A_1 + iA_r) \in L^\infty(M),$$

using Young's inequality, (3.17) and (3.2), we obtain that

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_\tau\|_{L^2(M)} = o(1), \quad \tau \to 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Finally, we shall solve the equation (3.10) for the remainder term r_0. First notice that the right hand side of (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned} v = -(&-h^2\Delta a - ih^2\langle A, da\rangle_g + 2ih\langle A - A_\tau, d\rho\rangle_g a + ih^2 d^*(Aa) \\ &+ h^2(\langle A, A\rangle_g + q)a) \in H^{-1}(M^0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and we shall estimate $\|v\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)}$. To that end, let $0 \neq \phi \in C^\infty_0(M^0)$. Then using (3.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle h^2\Delta a, \phi\rangle_{M^0}| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2/\tau^2)\|\phi\|_{L^2(M^0)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2/\tau^2)\|\phi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)}, \\ &|\langle h^2\langle A, da\rangle_g, \phi\rangle_{M^0}| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2/\tau)\|\phi\|_{L^2(M^0)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2/\tau)\|\phi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Using (3.18), (3.2), and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h\langle A - A_\tau, d\rho\rangle_g a, \phi\rangle_{M^0}| &\leq \mathcal{O}(h)\|a\|_{L^\infty(M)}\|A - A_\tau\|_{L^2(M^0)}\|\phi\|_{L^2(M^0)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(h)o_{\tau\to 0}(1)\|\phi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

By (1.4), (3.18), (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h^2 d^*(Aa), \phi\rangle_{M^0}| &= \left| h^2 \int \langle Aa, d\phi\rangle_g dV \right| \\ &\leq h^2 \int |\langle (A - A_\tau)a, d\phi\rangle_g| dV + h^2 \left| \int d^*(A_\tau a)\phi dV \right| \\ &\leq (\mathcal{O}(h) o_{\tau\to 0}(1) + \mathcal{O}(h^2/\tau)) \|\phi\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Finally, we have

$$\|h^2(\langle A, A\rangle_g^2 + q)a\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2). \tag{3.24}$$

It follows from (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24) that

$$\|v\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2/\tau^2) + \mathcal{O}(h) o_{\tau\to 0}(1),$$

and choosing $\tau = h^\sigma$ with σ, $0 < \sigma < 1/2$, we get

$$\|v\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(h), \quad h \to 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.4 and (3.25), for all $h > 0$ small enough, there exists a solution $r_0 \in H^1(M^0)$ of (3.10) which satisfies $\|r_0\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$.

The discussion in this section can be summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that (M, g) satisfies (3.1) and (3.4), and let $A \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$, $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\omega \in D$ be such that $(x_1, \omega) \notin M$ for all x_1, and let (r, θ) be the polar normal coordinates in (D, g_0) with center ω. Then for all $h > 0$ small enough, there exists a solution $u \in H^1(M^0)$ to the magnetic Schrödinger equation*

$$L_{g,A,q} u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(M^0)$$

of the form

$$u = e^{-\frac{1}{h}(x_1 + ir)} (|g|^{-1/4} c^{1/2} e^{i\Phi_h} a_0(x_1, r) b(\theta) + r_0),$$

where a_0 is a non-vanishing holomorphic function, $(\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_r) a_0 = 0$, and $b(\theta)$ is smooth. The function $\Phi_h \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_h\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\nabla \Phi_h\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-\sigma}), \\ \|\Delta \Phi_h\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-2\sigma}), \quad h \to 0, \quad 0 < \sigma < 1/2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_h\|_{L^2(M)} = o(1), \quad h \to 0, \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\Phi(x_1, r, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi(x_1 + ir)} * (A_1 + iA_r),$$

where $A = A_1 dx_1 + A_r dr + A_\theta d\theta$. The remainder r_0 is such that $\|r_0\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let us start by recalling some auxiliary, essentially well-known, results, see [9], [23].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$, $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$, and let $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(M^0)$. Then we have*

$$e^{-i\phi} \circ L_{g,A,q} \circ e^{i\phi} = L_{g,A+d\phi,q}. \tag{4.1}$$

If furthermore $\phi|_{\partial M} = 0$ then

$$C_{g,A,q} = C_{g,A+d\phi,q}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. A direct computation using (1.2) shows (4.1). In order to see (4.2), let $u \in H^1(M^0)$ be a solution to $L_{g,A,q}u = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$. Then it follows from (4.1) that $e^{-i\phi}u \in H^1(M^0)$ satisfies $L_{g,A+d\phi,q}(e^{-i\phi}u) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$. We have $e^{-i\phi}u|_{\partial M} = u|_{\partial M}$. Using (1.6), for $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\langle d_{A+d\phi}(e^{-i\phi}u),\nu\rangle_g, f\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)}\\ &= \langle\langle d_{A+d\phi}(e^{-i\phi}u),\nu\rangle_g, e^{i\phi}f\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} = \langle\langle d_A u,\nu\rangle_g, f\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

The following result is proved in exactly the same way as [23, Proposition 3.4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M,g) and $(\widetilde{M},g)$ be smooth compact Riemannian manifolds with smooth boundaries such that $M \subset \widetilde{M}^0$. Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in L^\infty(\widetilde{M}, T^*\widetilde{M})$ and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{C})$. Assume that*

$$A^{(1)} = A^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad q^{(1)} = q^{(2)} \quad \text{in} \quad \widetilde{M}\setminus M.$$

If $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$ then $C'_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C'_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$, where $C'_{g,A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ is the set of the Cauchy data for $L_{g,A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}$ on $\widetilde{M}$, $j = 1,2$.

Finally, we shall also need the following standard integral identity, see [9], [23].

Proposition 4.3. *Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$, $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. If $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, u_1 d\overline{u_2} - \overline{u_2}du_1\rangle_g dV_g\\ + \int_M (\langle A^{(1)}, A^{(1)}\rangle_g - \langle A^{(2)}, A^{(2)}\rangle_g + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})u_1\overline{u_2}dV = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ satisfying $L_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1 = 0$ and $L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}u_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$.

Proof. As $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$, there is $v_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ such that $L_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}v_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$ and

$$u_1|_{\partial M} = v_2|_{\partial M}, \quad \langle d_{A^{(1)}}u_1,\nu\rangle_g|_{\partial M} = \langle d_{A^{(2)}}v_2,\nu\rangle_g|_{\partial M}.$$

Hence,

$$\langle\langle d_{A^{(1)}}u_1,\nu\rangle_g, \overline{u_2}\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} = \langle\langle d_{A^{(2)}}v_2,\nu\rangle_g, \overline{u_2}\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Now using the fact that $L_{q,-A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}\overline{u_2} = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$ and (1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle d_{A^{(2)}}v_2,\nu\rangle_g, \overline{u_2}\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} &= \langle\langle d_{-A^{(2)}}\overline{u_2},\nu\rangle_g, v_2\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)}\\ &= \langle\langle d_{-A^{(2)}}\overline{u_2},\nu\rangle_g, u_1\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)},\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where in the last equality we have used that $u_1 = v_2$ on ∂M. It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\langle\langle d_{A^{(1)}}u_1,\nu\rangle_g, \overline{u_2}\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} = \langle\langle d_{-A^{(2)}}\overline{u_2},\nu\rangle_g, u_1\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)},$$

which proves the claim in view of (1.6). □

Let $(\widetilde{M},g)$ be an admissible simply connected manifold with connected boundary such that $(M,g) \subset (\widetilde{M}^0,g) \subset (\mathbb{R}\times M_0^0, c(e\oplus g_0))$. Applying Lemma 4.2 and using that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)} = 0$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)} = 0$ outside of M, we may and will assume in what follows that the manifold (M,g) is simply connected with connected boundary and the coefficients $A^{(j)}$ and $q^{(j)}$ are compactly supported in the interior of M.

Let us now rewrite the integral identity (4.3) in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)}-A^{(2)},u_1du_2-u_2du_1\rangle_g dV_g \\ +\int_M(\langle A^{(1)},A^{(1)}\rangle_g-\langle A^{(2)},A^{(2)}\rangle_g+q^{(1)}-q^{(2)})u_1u_2dV=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for any $u_1,u_2\in H^1(M^0)$ satisfying $L_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1=0$ and $L_{g,-A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}u_2=0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$.

By Proposition 3.2 for all $h>0$ small enough, there are solutions $u_1,u_2\in H^1(M^0)$ to the magnetic Schrödinger equations $L_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}u_1=0$ and $L_{g,-A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}u_2=0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$, of the form

$$\begin{aligned}u_1&=e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}(|g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{i\Phi_h^{(1)}}a_0(x_1,r)b(\theta)+r_1)=e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}(\alpha_1+r_1),\\ u_2&=e^{\frac{\rho}{h}}(|g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{i\Phi_h^{(2)}}+r_2)=e^{\frac{\rho}{h}}(\alpha_2+r_2),\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

respectively. Here $\rho=x_1+ir$,

$$\alpha_1=|g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{i\Phi_h^{(1)}}a_0(x_1,r)b(\theta),\quad \alpha_2=|g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{i\Phi_h^{(2)}}, \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\|r_j\|_{L^2(M^0)}=o(1),\quad \|dr_j\|_{L^2(M^0)}=o(h^{-1}),\quad h\to 0,\quad j=1,2. \tag{4.9}$$

Furthermore, $\Phi_h^{(j)}\in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies (3.26), and

$$\|\Phi^{(j)}-\Phi_h^{(j)}\|_{L^2(M)}=o(1),\quad h\to 0, \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(1)}(x_1,r,\theta)&=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\pi(x_1+ir)}*(A_1^{(1)}+iA_r^{(1)}),\\ \Phi^{(2)}(x_1,r,\theta)&=\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\pi(x_1+ir)}*(A_1^{(2)}+iA_r^{(2)}),\end{aligned}$$

where $A^{(j)}=A_1^{(j)}dx_1+A_r^{(j)}dr+A_\theta^{(j)}d\theta$, $j=1,2$. Thus,

$$\Phi=\Phi^{(1)}+\Phi^{(2)}\in L^\infty(M) \tag{4.11}$$

satisfies

$$\overline{\partial}\Phi+\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{A}_1+i\widetilde{A}_r)=0\quad\text{in}\quad M, \tag{4.12}$$

where $\widetilde{A}=A^{(1)}-A^{(2)}$.

It follows from (3.26) that

$$\|\alpha_j\|_{L^\infty(M)}=\mathcal{O}(1),\quad \|d\alpha_j\|_{L^\infty(M)}=\mathcal{O}(h^{-\sigma}),\quad h\to 0,\quad 0<\sigma<1/2. \tag{4.13}$$

We shall next insert u_1 and u_2, given by (4.7), into (4.6), multiply it by h, and let $h\to 0$. To that end, we first have

$$\begin{aligned}u_1du_2-u_2du_1=&\frac{2d\rho}{h}(\alpha_1\alpha_2+\alpha_1r_2+\alpha_2r_1+r_1r_2\\ &+(\alpha_1+r_1)(d\alpha_2+dr_2)-(\alpha_2+r_2)(d\alpha_1+dr_1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

We conclude from (4.6) in view of (4.14), (4.9) and (4.13) that

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\int_M\langle\widetilde{A},d\rho\rangle_g\alpha_1\alpha_2dV=\lim_{h\to 0}\int_M\langle\widetilde{A},d\rho\rangle_g|g|^{-1/2}ce^{i(\Phi_h^{(1)}+\Phi_h^{(2)})}a_0bdV=0. \tag{4.15}$$

We claim next that

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\int_M\langle\widetilde{A},d\rho\rangle_g|g|^{-1/2}ce^{i(\Phi_h^{(1)}+\Phi_h^{(2)})}a_0bdV=\int_M\langle\widetilde{A},d\rho\rangle_g|g|^{-1/2}ce^{i\Phi}a_0bdV, \tag{4.16}$$

where Φ is given by (4.11). This follows from the estimates,

$$\left| \int_M \langle \widetilde{A}, d\rho \rangle_g |g|^{-1/2} c(e^{i(\Phi_h^{(1)}+\Phi_h^{(2)})} - e^{i\Phi}) a_0 b dV \right| \leq C \| e^{i(\Phi_h^{(1)}+\Phi_h^{(2)})} - e^{i\Phi} \|_{L^2(M)}$$
$$\leq \| \Phi_h^{(1)} + \Phi_h^{(2)} - \Phi_1 - \Phi_2 \|_{L^2(M)} \to 0, \quad h \to 0.$$

Here we have used (4.10), the inequality

$$|e^z - e^w| \leq |z-w| e^{\max(\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Re} w)}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C},$$

and the fact that $\Phi^{(j)}, \Phi_h^{(j)} \in L^\infty(M)$ and $\| \Phi_h^{(j)} \|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C$ uniformly in h.

Now (4.15) and (4.16) imply that

$$\int_M \langle \widetilde{A}, d\rho \rangle_g |g|^{-1/2} c e^{i\Phi} a_0 b dV = 0.$$

Writing out this integral in the global coordinates (x_1, r, θ), and using that $dV = |g|^{1/2} dx_1 dr d\theta$, and (3.14), we get

$$\int_M (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r) e^{i\Phi} a_0(x_1, r) b(\theta) dx_1 dr d\theta = 0.$$

Now since the function

$$(x_1, r, \theta) \mapsto (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r) e^{i\Phi} a_0(x_1, r) \in L^1(M),$$

by Fubini's theorem the function

$$(x_1, r) \mapsto (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r) e^{i\Phi} a_0(x_1, r) \in L^1$$

for almost all θ, and

$$\theta \mapsto \int_{\Omega_\theta} (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r) e^{i\Phi} a_0(x_1, r) dx_1 dr \in L^1$$

where $\Omega_\theta = \{(x_1, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x_1, r, \theta) \in M\}$. Since $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r) e^{i\Phi} a_0(x_1, r) d\overline{\rho} \wedge d\rho = 0, \quad \text{for a.a. } \theta. \tag{4.17}$$

In what follows we view Ω_θ as a domain in the complex plane with the complex variable ρ, and by Sard's theorem, for almost all θ the boundary of Ω_θ is C^∞ smooth, see [22]. If follows from (4.17) and (4.12) that

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} \overline{\partial}(e^{i\Phi} a_0) d\overline{\rho} \wedge d\rho = 0, \quad \text{for a.a. } \theta. \tag{4.18}$$

We shall now discuss regularity properties of $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta)$. In view of (4.12) and the fact that for a.a. θ, $\widetilde{A}(\cdot, \cdot, \theta) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is compactly supported, we conclude that $\overline{\partial}\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta) \in L^p(\mathbb{C})$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Using the boundedness of the Beurling–Ahlfors operator $\partial\overline{\partial}^{-1}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{C})$ for $1 < p < \infty$, we get $\partial\Phi = \partial\overline{\partial}^{-1}(\overline{\partial}\Phi) \in L^p(\mathbb{C})$, and therefore, $\nabla\Phi \in L^p(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$, we obtain that $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta) \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$, $1 < p < \infty$. In particular, $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta) \in H^1(\Omega_\theta)$, and thus, $e^{i\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta)} \in H^1(\Omega_\theta)$. Hence, by Stokes' theorem, we conclude from (4.18) that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\theta} e^{i\Phi} a_0 d\rho = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Furthermore, as $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta) \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$, $1 < p < \infty$, for a.a. θ, by Sobolev's embedding $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, \theta)$ is locally Hölder continuous of order $1 - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$, see [16, Theorem 4.5.12] and [41, Lemma 3.4]. Thanks to validity of the Plemelj–Sokhotski–Privalov formula for Hölder continuous functions, see [26], the argument in [7, Lemma 5.1] shows that there exists a non-vanishing function $F \in C(\overline{\Omega_\theta})$, holomorphic in Ω_θ, such that

$$F|_{\partial\Omega_\theta} = e^{i\Phi}|_{\partial\Omega_\theta}.$$

The arguments in [20, Section 7] show that F admits a holomorphic logarithm $G \in C(\overline{\Omega_\theta})$, i.e. $F = e^G$, and furthermore, $(G - i\Phi)|_{\partial\Omega_\theta} \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$. Choosing

$$a_0 = Ge^{-G}e^{i\lambda(x_1+ir)},$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in (4.19), we get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\theta} i\Phi e^{i\lambda(x_1+ir)}d\rho = 0.$$

By Stokes's theorem and (4.12), we obtain that

$$\iint_{\Omega_\theta} (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r)e^{i\lambda(x_1+ir)}dx_1dr = 0, \quad \text{for a.a. } \theta. \tag{4.20}$$

Multiplying (4.20) by an arbitrary function $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ and integrating with respect to θ, we get

$$\iiint_{\mathbb{R}\times D} (\widetilde{A}_1 + i\widetilde{A}_r)e^{i\lambda(x_1+ir)}b(\theta)dx_1drd\theta = 0. \tag{4.21}$$

Here we take $\omega \in \partial D$ to define the Riemannian polar normal coordinates (r, θ).

Set

$$f(x') = \int e^{i\lambda x_1}\widetilde{A}_1(x_1, x')dx_1, \quad x' \in D. \tag{4.22}$$

We have $f \in L^\infty(D)$. Set also

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \bigg(\int e^{i\lambda x_1}\widetilde{A}_j(x_1, x')dx_1 \bigg) dx_j. \tag{4.23}$$

We have $\alpha \in L^\infty(D, T^*D)$. Since (r, θ) are Riemannian polar coordinates in D with center ω, we know that the curve $\gamma_\theta : r \mapsto (r, \theta)$ is the unit speed geodesic in D emanating from ω in the direction θ. Notice that when $\widetilde{A}$ is smooth, we have $\alpha_r = \alpha(\partial_r) = \alpha(\dot\gamma_\theta(r))$. In our case, (4.21) can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_0^{\tau(\omega,\theta)} [f(\gamma_\theta(r)) + i\alpha(\dot\gamma_\theta(r))]e^{-\lambda r}b(\theta)drd\theta = 0, \tag{4.24}$$

where $\tau(\omega, \theta)$ is the time when the geodesic γ_θ exits D. The integral in (4.24) is related to the attenuated geodesic ray transform acting on the function f and 1-form $i\alpha$ in D with constant attenuation $-\lambda$. In order to proceed we shall need the following result from [2, Proposition 5.1] dealing with the injectivity of this transform, which is an extension of [8, Lemma 5.1] established in the case when $\alpha = 0$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (D, g_0) be an $(n-1)$-dimensional simple manifold. Let $f \in L^\infty(D)$ and $\alpha \in L^\infty(D, T^*D)$ be a 1-form. Consider the integrals*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_0^{\tau(\omega,\theta)} [f(\gamma_\theta(r)) + \alpha(\dot\gamma_\theta(r))]e^{-\lambda r}b(\theta)drd\theta,$$

where (r, θ) are polar normal coordinates in (D, g_0) centered at some $\omega \in \partial D$ and $\tau(\omega, \theta)$ is the time when the geodesic $\gamma_\theta : r \mapsto (r, \theta)$ exits D. If $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently small, and if these integrals vanish for all $\omega \in \partial D$ and all $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$, then there is $p \in W^{1,\infty}(D^0)$ with $p|_{\partial D} = 0$ such that $f = -\lambda p$ and $\alpha = dp$.

Now varying the point $\omega \in \partial D$ in the construction of the complex geometric optics solution in Proposition 3.2 and applying Proposition 4.4, for all λ small enough, we have

$$f = -\lambda p \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\alpha = -idp \tag{4.26}$$

where $p \in W^{1,\infty}(D^0)$ with $p|_{\partial D} = 0$. Using (4.23), (4.26), we get

$$\int e^{i\lambda x_1} \widetilde{A}_j(x_1, x')dx_1 = -i\partial_{x_j} p, \tag{4.27}$$

for all $|\lambda|$ small enough. Viewing $\widetilde{A}_j \in (L^\infty \cap \mathcal{E}')(\mathbb{R}_{x_1}, L^2(D))$, we see that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\widetilde{A}(x_1, x')) \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(D))$. It follows from (4.27) and the analyticity of the Fourier transform that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{x_1\to\lambda}\big(\partial_{x_k}\widetilde{A}_j - \partial_{x_j}\widetilde{A}_k\big) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j, k = 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\partial_{x_k}\widetilde{A}_j - \partial_{x_j}\widetilde{A}_k \in (L^\infty \cap \mathcal{E}')(\mathbb{R}_{x_1}, H^{-1}(D))$. We conclude that

$$\partial_{x_k}\widetilde{A}_j - \partial_{x_j}\widetilde{A}_k = 0, \quad j, k = 2, \dots, n.$$

By (4.25), (4.26), (4.22), (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \partial_{x_j} f + i\lambda\alpha_j &= 2\pi\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\partial_{x_j}\widetilde{A}_1) + 2\pi i\lambda\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\widetilde{A}_j) \\ &= 2\pi\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\partial_{x_j}\widetilde{A}_1 - \partial_{x_1}\widetilde{A}_j), \quad j = 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, $\partial_{x_j}\widetilde{A}_1 - \partial_{x_1}\widetilde{A}_j = 0$. Hence, $d\widetilde{A} = 0$ in M, and thus, $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ in M.

Our next goal is to show that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in M. Since M is simply connected, by the Poincaré lemma for currents, see [33], we conclude that there is $\phi \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ such that $d\phi = A^{(1)} - A^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, T^*M) \cap \mathcal{E}'(M^0, T^*M^0)$. It follows from [16, Theorem 4.5.11] that ϕ is continuous and ϕ is a constant c near ∂M. Therefore, $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(M^0)$, and since the boundary ∂M is connected by considering $\phi - c$, we may assume that $\phi = 0$ on ∂M.

By Lemma 4.1, we have $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)}+d\phi,q^{(2)}} = C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(2)}}$. We may assume therefore that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and we will denote this 1-form by A. The integral identity (4.6) becomes

$$\int_M (q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})u_1 u_2 dV = 0, \tag{4.28}$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ satisfying $L_{g,A,q^{(1)}}u_1 = 0$ and $L_{g,-A,q^{(2)}}u_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$.

By Proposition 3.2 for all $h > 0$ small enough, there are solutions $u_1, u_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ to the magnetic Schrödinger equations $L_{g,A,q^{(1)}}u_1 = 0$ and $L_{g,-A,q^{(2)}}u_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$, of the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= e^{-\frac{\rho}{h}}(|g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{i\Phi_h}e^{i\lambda(x_1+ir)}b(\theta) + r_1), \\ u_2 &= e^{\frac{\rho}{h}}(|g|^{-1/4}c^{1/2}e^{-i\Phi_h} + r_2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

respectively. Here $\rho = x_1 + ir$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, b is smooth, $\Phi_h \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies (3.26), and (3.27), and $\|r_j\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$. Substituting u_1 and u_2, given by (4.29), into (4.28), letting $h \to 0$, and using that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)} = 0$ outside of M, we get

$$\iiint_{\mathbb{R}\times D} (q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})e^{i\lambda(x_1+ir)}c(x_1, r, \theta)b(\theta)dx_1 dr d\theta = 0. \tag{4.30}$$

Here we take $\omega \in \partial D$ to define the Riemannian polar normal coordinates (r, θ).

Set

$$f(r, \theta) = \int e^{i\lambda x_1}(q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})c(x_1, r, \theta)dx_1.$$

We have $f \in L^\infty(D)$. Thus, it follows from (4.30) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_0^{\tau(\omega,\theta)} f(r, \theta)e^{-\lambda r}b(\theta)dr d\theta = 0,$$

for all $\omega \in \partial D$, all $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$.

An application of Proposition 4.4 with $\alpha = 0$ gives that

$$f(r,\theta) = \int e^{i\lambda x_1}(q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})c(x_1, r, \theta)dx_1 = 0, \quad \text{for a.a. } r, \theta,$$

and for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently small, and hence, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by the analyticity of the Fourier transform of the compactly supported function $(q^{(1)} - q^{(2)})c(\cdot, r, \theta) \in L^\infty$ for a.a. (r, θ). We conclude that $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5. Gaussian beams quasimodes on conformally transversally anisotropic manifolds

Let (M, g) be a conformally transversally anisotropic manifold so that $(M, g) \subset (\mathbb{R} \times M_0, c(e \oplus g_0))$. Throughout this section we shall work under the simplifying assumption that the conformal factor $c = 1$. Replacing (M_0, g_0) by a slightly larger manifold if necessary, we may assume that $(M, g) \subset (\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0, e \oplus g_0)$.

In this section we shall be concerned with constructing Gaussian beam quasimodes for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $L_{g,A,q}$, conjugated by a liming Carleman weight, with $A \in C(M, T^*M)$ and $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. The construction of Gaussian beam quasimodes has a very long tradition in spectral theory and microlocal analysis, see [3], [31], [32]. In the context closely related to our discussion it was given recently in [10] in the case when $A = 0$, and in [5] assuming that $A \in C^\infty(M, T^*M)$. Similarly to [5], our quasimodes will be constructed on the manifold M and will be localized to a non-tangential geodesic on the transversal manifold M_0. Here a unit speed geodesic $\gamma : [0, L] \to M_0$ is called non-tangential if $\gamma(0), \gamma(L) \in \partial M_0$, $\dot\gamma(0), \dot\gamma(L)$ are non-tangential vectors on ∂M_0 and $\gamma(t) \in M_0^0$ for all $0 < t < L$, see [10].

In what follows it will be convenient to extend A to a continuous 1-form with compact support in $\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0$, and we shall write $A \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0, T^*(\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0))$. Now using a partition of unity argument together with a regularization in each coordinate patch, we get the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists a family $A_\tau \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0, T^*(\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0))$ such that*

$$\|A - A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = o(1), \quad \tau \to 0, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\nabla A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}), \\ \|\Delta A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \quad \tau \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The Gaussian beam quasimodes to be constructed in this section will be used to construct complex geometric optics solutions for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $L_{g,A,q}$ in Section 6. To motivate our construction, we shall now proceed to introduce the conjugated operator and to this end let us write $x = (x_1, x')$ for coordinates in $\mathbb{R} \times M_0$, globally in $\mathbb{R}$ and locally in M_0. Let

$$s = \mu + i\lambda, \quad \mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \geq 1, \quad \lambda \text{ fixed}.$$

Our complex geometric optics solution to the equation

$$L_{g,A,q}u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad M, \tag{5.3}$$

will have the form

$$u = e^{-sx_1}(v + r), \tag{5.4}$$

where $v = v_s$ is an amplitude type term and $r = r_s$ is a correction term. A function u given by (5.4) is a solution of (5.3) provided that

$$e^{sx_1}L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}r = -e^{sx_1}L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}v.$$

As $g = e \oplus g_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{sx_1} \circ (-\Delta_g) \circ e^{-sx_1} &= e^{sx_1} \circ (-\partial_{x_1}^2 - \Delta_{g_0}) \circ e^{-sx_1} = -(\partial_{x_1} - s)^2 - \Delta_{g_0} \\ &= -\Delta_g + 2s\partial_{x_1} - s^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ie^{sx_1} d^*(Ae^{-sx_1} v) &= id^*(Av) + iA_1 sv, \\ -ie^{sx_1} \langle A, d(e^{-sx_1} v)\rangle_g &= -i\langle A, dv\rangle_g + iA_1 sv. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{sx_1} L_{g,A,q} e^{-sx_1} v = &- \Delta_g v + id^*(Av) - i\langle A, dv\rangle_g + (\langle A, A\rangle_g + q)v \\ &+ 2s\partial_{x_1} v - s^2 v + 2isA_1 v. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Here we are interested in choosing v so that the expression in (5.5) is small and to this end we have the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *Let (M, g) be a transversally anisotropic manifold so that $(M, g) \subset (\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0, g)$ with $g = e \oplus g_0$. Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\gamma : [0, L] \to M_0$ be a unit speed non-tangential geodesic on M_0, and let $s = \mu + i\lambda$, $\mu \geq 1$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ being fixed. Then there exist families of Gaussian beam quasimodes $v_s, w_s \in C^\infty(M)$ such that*

$$\|v_s\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|e^{sx_1} h^2 L_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} e^{-sx_1} v_s\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(h), \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\|w_s\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|e^{-sx_1} h^2 L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}} e^{sx_1} w_s\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(h), \tag{5.7}$$

as $h = \frac{1}{\mu} \to 0$. Furthermore, for each $\psi \in C(M_0)$ and $x_1' \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} v_s \overline{w_s} \psi dV_{g_0} = \int_0^L e^{-2\lambda t} \eta(x_1, t) e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1', t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1', t)}} \psi(\gamma(t)) dt. \tag{5.8}$$

Here $\Phi^{(1)}, \Phi^{(2)} \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, L])$ satisfy the following transport equations,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_t)\Phi^{(1)} &= -iA_1^{(1)}(x_1, \gamma(t)) - A_t^{(1)}(x_1, \gamma(t)), \\ (\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_t)\Phi^{(2)} &= -i\overline{A_1^{(2)}(x_1, \gamma(t))} + \overline{A_t^{(2)}(x_1, \gamma(t))}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_t^{(j)}(x_1, \gamma(t)) = \langle A^{(j)}(x_1, \gamma(t)), (0, \dot\gamma(t))\rangle, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ being the dually between tangent and cotangent vectors, and $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, L])$ is such that $(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_t)\eta = 0$. Finally, for any 1-form $\alpha \in C(M, T^*M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h\to 0} h \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} &\langle \alpha, dv_s\rangle_g \overline{w_s} \psi dV_{g_0} \\ &= \int_0^L i\alpha(\dot\gamma(t)) e^{-2\lambda t} \eta(x_1, t) e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1', t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1', t)}} \psi(\gamma(t)) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h\to 0} h \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} &\langle \alpha, d\overline{w_s}\rangle_g v_s \psi dV_{g_0} \\ &= -\int_0^L i\alpha(\dot\gamma(t)) e^{-2\lambda t} \eta(x_1, t) e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1', t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1', t)}} \psi(\gamma(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. We shall follow [10] and [5] closely, modifying the argument slightly to accommodate the magnetic potential of low regularity.

Let us isometrically embed our manifold (M_0, g_0) into a larger closed manifold $(\widehat{M_0}, g_0)$ of the same dimension. This is possible since we can form the manifold $\widehat{M_0} = M_0 \sqcup_{\partial M_0} M_0$, which is the disjoint

union of two copies of M_0, glued along the boundary. We extend γ as a unit speed geodesic in $\widehat{M_0}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\gamma(t) \in \widehat{M_0} \setminus M_0$ and $\gamma(t)$ has no self-intersection for $t \in [-2\varepsilon, 0) \cup (L, L + 2\varepsilon]$. This choice of ε is possible since γ is non-tangential.

Our goal is to construct Gaussian beam quasimodes near $\gamma([-\varepsilon, L + \varepsilon])$. We shall start by carrying out the quasimode construction locally near a given point $p_0 = \gamma(t_0)$ on $\gamma([-\varepsilon, L + \varepsilon])$. Let $(t, y) \in U = \{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} : |t - t_0| < \delta, |y| < \delta'\}$, $\delta, \delta' > 0$, be Fermi coordinates near p_0, see [18]. We may assume that the coordinates (t, y) extends smoothly to a neighborhood of $\overline{U}$. The geodesic γ near p_0 is then given by $\Gamma = \{(t, y) : y = 0\}$, and

$$g_0^{jk}(t, 0) = \delta^{jk}, \quad \partial_{y_l} g_0^{jk}(t, 0) = 0.$$

Hence, near the geodesic

$$g_0^{jk}(t, y) = \delta^{jk} + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2). \tag{5.11}$$

We shall first construct the quasimode $v = v_s$ in (5.6) for the operator $e^{sx_1} h^2 L_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} e^{-sx_1}$. In doing so, let us write for simplicity $A = A^{(1)}$ and $q = q^{(1)}$. Let us consider the following Gaussian beam ansatz,

$$v(x_1, t, y; s) = e^{is\varphi(t,y)} a(x_1, t, y; s). \tag{5.12}$$

Here $\varphi \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ is such that

$$\operatorname{Im} \varphi \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \varphi|_\Gamma = 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \varphi(t, y) \sim |y|^2 = \operatorname{dist}((y, t), \Gamma)^2, \tag{5.13}$$

and $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times U, \mathbb{C})$ is an amplitute such that $\operatorname{supp}(a(x_1, \cdot))$ is close to Γ, see [32], [17]. Notice that here we choose φ to depend on the transversal variables (t, y) only while a is a function of all the variables.

As φ is independent of x_1, we get

$$e^{-is\varphi}(-\Delta_g) e^{is\varphi} a = -\Delta_g a - is[2\langle d\varphi, da(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0} + (\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)a] + s^2 \langle d\varphi, d\varphi\rangle_{g_0} a, \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ie^{-is\varphi} d^*(Ae^{is\varphi} a) &= id^*(Aa) + sa\langle d\varphi, A(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0}, \\ -ie^{-is\varphi}\langle A, d(e^{is\varphi} a)\rangle_g &= -i\langle A, da\rangle_g + sa\langle d\varphi, A(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Using (5.5), (5.14), (5.15), and the fact that φ is independent of x_1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{sx_1} L_{g,A,q} e^{-sx_1} v &= e^{is\varphi}[e^{-is\varphi} e^{sx_1} L_{g,A,q} e^{-sx_1} e^{is\varphi} a] \\ &= e^{is\varphi}\Big[s^2\big(\langle d\varphi, d\varphi\rangle_{g_0} - 1\big)a \\ &+ s\big(2\partial_{x_1} a - 2i\langle d\varphi, da(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0} - i(\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)a + 2a\langle d\varphi, A(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0} + 2iA_1 a\big) \\ &- \Delta_g a + id^*(Aa) - i\langle A, da\rangle_g + (\langle A, A\rangle_g + q)a\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

We start by considering the eikonal equation,

$$\langle d\varphi, d\varphi\rangle_{g_0} - 1 = 0,$$

and proceeding as in the classical Gaussian beam construction, see [31], [32], [17], [10], we find $\varphi = \varphi(t, y) \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\langle d\varphi, d\varphi\rangle_{g_0} - 1 = \mathcal{O}(|y|^3), \quad y \to 0, \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im} \varphi \geq c|y|^2, \tag{5.18}$$

with some $c > 0$. Specifically, as explained in [31], [32] and [10], we can choose

$$\varphi(t, y) = t + \frac{1}{2} H(t) y \cdot y, \tag{5.19}$$

where $H(t)$ is a unique smooth complex symmetric solution of the initial value problem for the matrix Riccati equation,

$$\dot{H}(t) + H(t)^2 = F(t), \quad H(t_0) = H_0, \tag{5.20}$$

with H_0 being a complex symmetric matrix with $\mathrm{Im}\,(H_0)$ positive definite and $F(t)$ being a suitable symmetric matrix. Hence, as explained in [31], [32] and [10], $\mathrm{Im}\,(H(t))$ is positive definite for all t.

We shall next look for the amplitude a in the form

$$a(x_1, t, y; \tau) = \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} a_0(x_1, t; \tau)\chi\Big(\frac{y}{\delta'}\Big), \tag{5.21}$$

where $a_0(\cdot, \cdot; \tau) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \{t : |t - t_0| < \delta\})$ is independent of y and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_{x_1} a_0 - 2i\langle d\varphi, da_0(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0} - i(\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)a_0 + 2a_0\langle d\varphi, A_\tau(x_1, \cdot)\rangle_{g_0} + 2i(A_\tau)_1 a_0 \\ = \mathcal{O}(|y|\tau^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

as $y \to 0$ and $\tau \to 0$. Here A_τ is the regularization of A given by Proposition 5.1, and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})$ is such that $\chi = 1$ for $|y| \le 1/4$ and $\chi = 0$ for $|y| \ge 1/2$.

In order to determine a_0 such that (5.22) holds, we shall Taylor expand the coefficients occurring in the left hand side of (5.22). First writing

$$\begin{aligned} A_\tau(x, t, y) &= A_\tau(x, t, 0) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} A_\tau(x, t, ys)ds \\ &= A_\tau(x, t, 0) + \int_0^1 (\nabla_y A_\tau(x, t, ys))y ds, \end{aligned}$$

and using Proposition 5.1, we obtain that

$$A_\tau(x, t, y) = A_\tau(x, t, 0) + \mathcal{O}(|y|\tau^{-1}).$$

Next it follows from (5.19) that

$$\partial_t\varphi(t, y) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2).$$

We finally have to compute $\Delta_{g_0}\varphi$ along the geodesic. We have

$$(\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)(t, y) = (\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)(t, 0) + \mathcal{O}(|y|),$$

and using (5.11) and (5.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)(t, 0) &= |g_0|^{-1/2}\partial_{x_j}(|g_0|^{1/2} g_0^{jk}\partial_{x_k}\varphi)|_{y=0} = \delta^{jk}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_k}\varphi|_{y=0} \\ &= \delta^{jk}H_{jk} = \mathrm{tr}\, H(t), \quad x = (t, y). \end{aligned}$$

To achieve (5.22), we shall therefore require that $a_0(x_1, t; \tau)$ solves

$$(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_t)a_0 = \frac{1}{2}\big(-2i(A_\tau)_1(x_1, t, 0) - 2(A_\tau)_t(x_1, t, 0) + i\,\mathrm{tr}\, H(t)\big)a_0, \tag{5.23}$$

where $A = A_1 dx_1 + A_t dt + A_y dy$. Writing

$$\partial = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_t),$$

and looking for a solution in the form $a_0(x_1, t; \tau) = e^{\Phi_\tau(x_1,t)+f(t)}\eta(x_1, t)$, where $\partial\eta = 0$, we get

$$\partial\Phi_\tau(x_1, t) = -\frac{1}{2}(i(A_\tau)_1(x_1, t, 0) + (A_\tau)_t(x_1, t, 0)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{x_1} \times [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]), \tag{5.24}$$

with compact support in x_1, and

$$\partial_t f = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\, H(t). \tag{5.25}$$

We solve (5.24) by taking

$$\Phi_\tau(x_1, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi(x_1 - it)} * (i(A_\tau)_1(x_1, t, 0) + (A_\tau)_t(x_1, t, 0)),$$

using the standard fundamental solution of the operator ∂. When forming the convolution in the variables (x_1, t), we take a C^∞ compactly supported extension of the right hand side of (5.24) to all of the (x_1, t)–plane so that the estimates of Proposition 5.1 are still valid for the extension.

We obtain the solution $a_0(x_1, t; \tau) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta])$ of (5.23) such that

$$\|\nabla^\alpha_{x_1,t} a_0\|_{L^\infty(J\times[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta])} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-|\alpha|}), \quad |\alpha| \leq 2, \quad \tau \to 0, \tag{5.26}$$

where $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a large fixed bounded open interval. Furthermore, we have

$$\|\Phi_\tau - \Phi\|_{L^\infty(J\times[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta])} = o(1), \quad \tau \to 0,$$

where Φ is continuous and solves

$$\partial\Phi(x_1, t) = -\frac{1}{2}(iA_1(x_1, t, 0) + A_t(x_1, t, 0)).$$

It follows from (5.23) and (5.26) that (5.22) holds.

In view of (5.12) and (5.21) we write

$$v(x_1, t, y) = e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} a_0(x_1, t; \tau)\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right). \tag{5.27}$$

We shall next check that (5.6) is valid locally near the point p_0 for a suitable choice of τ depending on s. First using (5.13), we see that

$$|v(x_1, t, y)| \leq \mathcal{O}(1)\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} e^{-\mu c|y|^2}\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right), \quad c > 0. \tag{5.28}$$

Then we have

$$\|v\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \leq \mathcal{O}(1)\|\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} e^{-\mu c|y|^2}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \mu \to \infty. \tag{5.29}$$

Let us now estimate $\|e^{sx_1}h^2 L_{g,A,q} e^{-sx_1} v\|_{H^{-1}_{\mathrm{scl}}(J\times U)}$, $\mu = \frac{1}{h}$. Let us start with the first term in the right hand side of (5.16). Using that $\|a_0\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, it follows from (5.17) and (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} h^2\|e^{is\varphi}s^2\big(\langle d\varphi, d\varphi\rangle_{g_0} - 1\big)a\|_{L^2(J\times U)} &\leq \mathcal{O}(1)\|e^{-c\mu|y|^2}|y|^3\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(1)\bigg(\int e^{-2c|z|^2}\mu^{-3}|z|^6 dz\bigg)^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3/2}) = o(h). \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

Here we make the change of variables $z = \mu^{1/2}y$.

Let us now turn to the second term in the right hand side of (5.16). Consider first the contribution to the second term obtained when A is replaced by its regularization A_τ. Using (5.21), (5.22), and the fact that on $\operatorname{supp} d\chi(y/\delta')$,

$$|e^{is\varphi}| \sim e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}, \quad \widetilde{c} > 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &h^2\|e^{is\varphi}s\big(2\partial_{x_1}a - 2i\langle d\varphi, da\rangle_{g_0} - i(\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)a + 2a\langle d\varphi, A_\tau\rangle_{g_0} + 2i(A_\tau)_1 a\big)\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \\ &\quad\leq \mathcal{O}(h)\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\bigg\|e^{is\varphi}\bigg[|y|\tau^{-1}\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right) - 2i\langle d\varphi, d\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\rangle_{g_0}\bigg]\bigg\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \\ &\quad\leq \mathcal{O}(h)\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\bigg(\int_{|y|\leq\delta'/2} e^{-2c\mu|y|^2}|y|^2\tau^{-2}dy\bigg)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}) \\ &\quad= \mathcal{O}\bigg(\frac{h\mu^{-1/2}}{\tau}\bigg) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}) = o(h), \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

if we choose $\tau = h^\sigma$ with some $0 < \sigma < 1/2$. To estimate the rest of the second term in the right hand side of (5.16), using (5.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &h^2\|e^{is\varphi}s[2a\langle d\varphi, A - A_\tau\rangle_{g_0} + 2i(A_1 - (A_\tau)_1)a]\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \\ &\qquad\leq \mathcal{O}(h)\|A - A_\tau\|_{L^\infty}\|e^{-\mu c|y|^2}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} = o(h). \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

Let us now start estimating the third term in the right hand side of (5.16). First using (5.21) and (5.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^2\|e^{is\varphi}(-\Delta_g a)\|_{L^2(J\times U)} &\leq h^2\left\|e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}(\Delta_g a_0(x_1,t))\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\right\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \\ &+ h^2\left\|e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\left[2\langle\nabla_g a_0(x_1,t),\nabla_g\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\rangle_g + a_0\Delta_g\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(h^2)\|e^{-c\mu|y|^2}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\tau^{-2}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}) = \mathcal{O}(h^2\tau^{-2}) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}) = o(h), \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h^2\|e^{is\varphi}\langle A,da\rangle_g\|_{L^2(J\times U)} &\leq \mathcal{O}(h^2)\mu^{\frac{(n-2)}{4}}\|e^{is\varphi}\langle A,da_0\rangle_g\|_{L^2(J\times U)} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h^2}{\tau}\right)\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|e^{-c\mu|y|^2}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}) = o(h), \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

and

$$h^2\|e^{is\varphi}(\langle A,A\rangle_g + q)a\|_{L^2(J\times U)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^2)\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|e^{-c\mu|y|^2}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} = \mathcal{O}(h^2). \tag{5.35}$$

Finally, let us estimate $h^2\|e^{is\varphi}id^*(Aa)\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(J\times U)}$. To that end, letting $0\neq\psi\in C_0^\infty(I\times U)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} h^2|\langle e^{is\varphi}d^*(Aa),\psi\rangle| &\leq h^2|\langle e^{is\varphi}d^*(A_\tau a),\psi\rangle| + h^2\int|\langle(A-A_\tau)a,d(e^{is\varphi}\psi)\rangle_g|dV_g \\ &\leq (h^2\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1})\|e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mu\widetilde{c}}))\|\psi\|_{L^2} \\ &+ (o(h^2)\mu + o(h))\|e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|_{L^2(|y|\leq\delta'/2)}\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\rm scl}} \\ &= o(h)\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\rm scl}}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$h^2\|e^{is\varphi}id^*(Aa)\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(J\times U)} = o(h), \quad h\to 0. \tag{5.36}$$

Thus, we conclude from (5.16) with the help of (5.30), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.34), (5.35) and (5.36), that

$$\|e^{sx_1}h^2L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}v\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(J\times U)} = o(h), \quad h\to 0. \tag{5.37}$$

Finally, using (5.27), we also get

$$\|dv\|_{L^2(J\times U)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}), \quad h\to 0. \tag{5.38}$$

This complete the verification of (5.6) locally near the point p_0.

For the later purposes we shall need an estimate for $\|v(x_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\partial M_0)}$. If U contains a boundary point $x_0=(t_0,0)\in\partial M_0$, then $\partial_t|_{x_0}$ is transversal to ∂M_0. Let ρ be a boundary defining function for M_0 so that ∂M_0 is given by the zero set $\rho(t,y)=0$ near x_0. Then $\nabla\rho(x_0)$ is normal to ∂M_0, and hence, $\partial_t\rho(x_0)\neq 0$. By the implicit function theorem, there is a smooth function $y\mapsto t(y)$ near 0 such that ∂M_0 near x_0 is given by $\{(t(y),y):|y|<r_0\}$ for some $r_0>0$ small, see also [18]. Then using (5.27), (5.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(x_1,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\partial M_0\cap U)} &= \int_{|y|<r_0}|v(x_1,t(y),y)|^2dS(y) \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}e^{-2\mu c|y|^2}dy = \mathcal{O}(1), \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

as $\mu\to\infty$.

We shall now construct the quasimode v_s in M by gluing together quasimodes defined along small pieces of the geodesic. Since $\widehat{M_0}$ is a compact manifold and $\gamma:(-2\varepsilon,L+2\varepsilon)\to\widehat{M_0}$ is a unit speed

non-tangential geodesic with no loops, it follows from [18, Lemma 7.2] that $\gamma|_{[-\varepsilon,L+\varepsilon]}$ self-intersects only at finitely many times t_j with

$$-\varepsilon = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N < t_{N+1} = L + \varepsilon.$$

Then an application of [10, Lemma 3.5] shows that there exists an open cover $\{(U_j, \kappa_j)\}_{j=0}^{N+1}$ of $\gamma([-\varepsilon, L+\varepsilon])$ consisting of coordinate neighborhoods having the following properties:

(i) $\kappa_j(U_j) = I_j \times B$, where I_j are open intervals and $B = B(0, \delta')$ is an open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Here $\delta' > 0$ can be taken arbitrarily small and the same for each U_j,
(ii) $\kappa_j(\gamma(t)) = (t, 0)$ for each $t \in I_j$,
(iii) t_j only belongs to I_j and $\overline{I_j} \cap \overline{I_k} = \emptyset$ unless $|j - k| \leq 1$,
(iv) $\kappa_j = \kappa_k$ on $\kappa_j^{-1}((I_j \cap I_k) \times B)$.

As explained in [10, Lemma 3.5], the intervals I_j can be chosen as follows,

$$I_0 = (-2\varepsilon, t_1 - \widetilde{\delta}), \quad I_j = (t_j - 2\widetilde{\delta}, t_{j+1} - \widetilde{\delta}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, N,$$
$$I_{N+1} = (t_{N+1} - 2\widetilde{\delta}, L + 2\varepsilon),$$

for some $\widetilde{\delta} > 0$ small enough. Furthermore, the metric g_0 expressed in these coordinates satisfies,

$$g_0^{jk}|_{\gamma(t)} = \delta^{jk}, \quad \nabla g_0^{jk}|_{\gamma(t)} = 0,$$

see [10, Lemma 3.5]. As observed in the proof of [10, Lemma 3.5], in the case when γ does not self-intersect, there is a single coordinate neighborhood of $\gamma|_{[-\varepsilon,L+\varepsilon]}$ so that (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

To construct the quasimode v_s we proceed as follows. First we find a function $v_s^{(0)} = e^{is\varphi^{(0)}} a^{(0)}$, $a_0^{(0)} = e^{\Phi_\tau^{(0)} + f^{(0)}}$, in U_0 with some fixed initial conditions at $t = -\varepsilon$ for the Riccati equation (5.20) determining $\varphi^{(0)}$ and for the equation (5.25) determining $f^{(0)}$. Choose some t_0' with $\gamma(t_0') \in U_0 \cap U_1$, and let $v_s^{(1)} = e^{is\varphi^{(1)}} a^{(1)}$ be the quasimode in U_1 obtained by demanding that

$$\varphi^{(1)}(t_0') = \varphi^{(0)}(t_0'), \quad f^{(1)}(t_0') = f^{(0)}(t_0').$$

Also notice that $\Phi_\tau^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_\tau^{(1)}$ both satisfy the equation (5.24) and we can arrange so that $\Phi_\tau^{(0)} = \Phi_\tau^{(1)}$ on $U_0 \cap U_1$. Continuing in this way we obtain the quasimodes $v_s^{(2)}, \ldots, v_s^{(N+1)}$ such that

$$v_s^{(j)}(x_1, \cdot) = v_s^{(j+1)}(x_1, \cdot) \quad \text{in} \quad U_j \cap U_{j+1}, \tag{5.40}$$

for all x_1.

Let $\chi_j = \chi_j(t) \in C_0^\infty(I_j)$ be such that $\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \chi_j = 1$ near $\gamma([-\varepsilon, L+\varepsilon])$, and define

$$v_s = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \chi_j v_s^{(j)}.$$

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_R \in M_0$ be the distinct points where the geodesic self-intersects, and let $0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_{R'}$ be the times of self-intersections. Let $V_1, \ldots, V_R$ be small neighborhoods in $\widehat{M_0}$ around p_j, $j = 1, \ldots, R$. Then choosing δ' small enough we obtain an open cover in $\widehat{M_0}$,

$$\text{supp } (v_s(x_1, \cdot)) \cap M_0 \subset (\cup_{j=1}^R V_j) \cup (\cup_{k=1}^S W_k), \tag{5.41}$$

where in each V_j, the quasimode is a finite sum,

$$v_s(x_1, \cdot)|_{V_j} = \sum_{l:\gamma(t_l)=p_j} v_s^{(l)}(x_1, \cdot), \tag{5.42}$$

and in each W_k, in view of (5.40), there is some $l(k)$ so that the quasimode is given by

$$v_s(x_1, \cdot)|_{W_k} = v_s^{l(k)}(x_1, \cdot). \tag{5.43}$$

Hence, the L^2 bounds $\|v_s\|_{L^2(M)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\|dv_s\|_{L^2(M)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1})$ follow from (5.29) and (5.38). Furthermore, (5.39) implies the bound $\|v_s(x_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\partial M_0)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

We shall show that $\|e^{sx_1}h^2 L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}v_s\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(M^0)} = o(h)$. In doing so, we observe that (5.41) gives that

$$\text{supp }(v_s)\cap M \subset (\cup_{j=1}^R \widetilde{J}\times V_j)\cup(\cup_{k=1}^S \widetilde{J}\times W_k) := \cup\Omega_l,$$

where $\widetilde{J}\subset\mathbb{R}$ is a bounded open interval. It follows from (5.42), (5.43) and (5.37) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|e^{sx_1}h^2 L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}v_s\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(\widetilde{J}\times V_j)} = o(h),\\ &\|e^{sx_1}h^2 L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}v_s\|_{H^{-1}_{\rm scl}(\widetilde{J}\times W_k)} = o(h),\quad h\to 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

Let $\psi\in C_0^\infty(M^0)$ and let $0\le\chi_l\in C_0^\infty(\Omega_l)$ be such that $\sum\chi_l = 1$ near supp $(v_s)\cap M$. Writing

$$\psi = (1-\sum\chi_l)\psi + \sum\chi_l\psi,$$

and using (5.44), we get

$$|\langle e^{sx_1}h^2 L_{g,A,q}e^{-sx_1}v_s,\psi\rangle_{M^0}| \le o(h)\|\psi\|_{H^1_{\rm scl}(M^0)},$$

showing the claim. This completes the proof of (5.6).

Now look for a Gaussian beam quasimode for the operator $e^{-sx_1}h^2 L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}e^{sx_1}$ in the form

$$w_s = w = e^{is\varphi}b,$$

with the same phase function $\varphi\in C^\infty(U)$ satisfying (5.13), and $b\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times U)$ supported near Γ. Using (5.5) with s replaced by $-s$, (5.14), (5.15), and that φ is independent of x_1, we obtain, similarly to (5.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-sx_1}L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}e^{sx_1}w = e^{is\varphi}[e^{-is\varphi}e^{-sx_1}L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}e^{sx_1}e^{is\varphi}b]\\ &= e^{is\varphi}\Big[s^2\big(\langle d\varphi,d\varphi\rangle_{g_0}-1\big)b\\ &+ s\big(-2\partial_{x_1}b - 2i\langle d\varphi,db\rangle_{g_0} - i(\Delta_{g_0}\varphi)b + 2b\langle d\varphi,\overline{A^{(2)}}\rangle_{g_0} - 2i\overline{A_1^{(2)}}b\big)\\ &- \Delta_g b + id^*(\overline{A^{(2)}}b) - i\langle\overline{A^{(2)}},db\rangle_g + (\langle\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{A^{(2)}}\rangle_g + \overline{q^{(2)}})b\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

We shall next find the amplitude b in the form

$$b(x_1,t,y;\tau) = \mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}b_0(x_1,t;\tau)\chi(\frac{y}{\delta'}), \tag{5.46}$$

where $b_0(\cdot,\cdot;\tau)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\{t:|t-t_0|<\delta\})$. To that end, similarly to (5.23), we require that b_0 solves

$$(\partial_{x_1}+i\partial_t)b_0 = \frac{1}{2}\big(-2i\overline{(A_\tau^{(2)})_1}(x_1,t,0) + 2\overline{(A_\tau^{(2)})_t}(x_1,t,0) - i\,\mathrm{tr}\,H(t)\Big)b_0.$$

Writing

$$\overline{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1}+i\partial_t),$$

and looking for a solution in the form $b_0 = e^{\Phi_\tau^{(2)}(x_1,t)+f^{(2)}(t)}$, we get

$$\overline{\partial}\Phi_\tau^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\big(-i\overline{(A_\tau^{(2)})_1}(x_1,t,0) + \overline{(A_\tau^{(2)})_t}(x_1,t,0)\big), \tag{5.47}$$

and

$$\partial_t f^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,H(t).$$

Proceeding further as in the construction of the quasimode v_s above, we obtain the quasimode $w_s\in C^\infty(M)$ such that (5.7) holds.

Let us now verify (5.8) for $\psi \in C(M_0)$ and $x_1' \in \mathbb{R}$. Using a partition of unity, it is enough to check (5.8) for ψ having compact support in one of the sets V_j or W_k, see (5.41). Let us first consider the easier case when $\psi \in C_0(M_0)$, supp $(\psi) \subset W_k$. Here on supp (ψ), we have

$$v_s = e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} a_0(x_1', t; \mu)\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right), \quad w_s = e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}} b_0(x_1', t; \mu)\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right), \tag{5.48}$$

with $\varphi = \varphi(t, y)$. First it follows from (5.11) that

$$|g_0|^{1/2} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2).$$

Using (5.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} v_s \overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} \\
&= \int_0^L \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} e^{-2\mu \mathrm{Im}\varphi} e^{-2\lambda \mathrm{Re}\varphi} \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} a_0(x_1', t; \mu)\overline{b_0(x_1', t; \mu)}\chi^2\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\psi(t, y)|g_0|^{\frac{1}{2}} dtdy \\
&= \int_0^L \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} e^{-\mu \mathrm{Im}H(t)y\cdot y} e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\lambda \mathcal{O}(|y|^2)} \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} a_0(x_1', t; \mu)\overline{b_0(x_1', t; \mu)} \\
&\chi^2\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\psi(t, y)(1 + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2))dtdy.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

Performing the change of variables $\mu^{1/2}y = x$ in (5.49), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^L \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} &e^{-\mathrm{Im}H(t)x\cdot x} e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\mathcal{O}(|x|^2)} \\
&a_0(x_1', t; \mu)\overline{b_0(x_1', t; \mu)}\chi^2\left(\frac{x}{\mu^{1/2}\delta'}\right)\psi\left(t, \frac{x}{\mu^{1/2}}\right)(1 + \mu^{-1}\mathcal{O}(|x|^2))dtdx.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Im}H(t)x \cdot x \geq c|x|^2$, $c > 0$. Furthermore,

$$a_0(x_1', t; \mu) \to e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1', t) + f^{(1)}(t)}\eta(x_1, t), \quad b_0(x_1', t; \mu) \to e^{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1', t) + f^{(2)}(t)}, \quad \mu \to \infty,$$

uniformly, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\Phi^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2}(iA_1^{(1)}(x_1, t, 0) + A_t^{(1)}(x_1, t, 0)), \\
\overline{\partial}\Phi^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2}(-i\overline{A_1^{(2)}(x_1, t, 0)} + \overline{A_t^{(2)}(x_1, t, 0)}), \\
\partial_t f^{(j)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} H(t), \quad j = 1, 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

Passing to the limit as $\mu \to \infty$ in (5.49) and (5.50), by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\mu\to\infty} \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} v_s \overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} \\
&\quad = \int_0^L e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1', t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1', t)} + f^{(1)}(t) + \overline{f^{(2)}(t)}}\eta(x_1, t)\psi(t, 0)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} e^{-\mathrm{Im}H(t)x\cdot x} dxdt.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

Let us now simplify the expression in the right hand side of (5.52). To that end, notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} e^{-\mathrm{Im}H(t)x\cdot x} dx = \frac{\pi^{(n-2)/2}}{\sqrt{\det(\mathrm{Im}H(t))}}. \tag{5.53}$$

and recall from [17, Lemma 2.58] that

$$\det(\mathrm{Im}H(t)) = \det(\mathrm{Im}H(t_0))e^{-2\int_{t_0}^t \operatorname{tr}\mathrm{Re}(H(s))ds}. \tag{5.54}$$

Now it follows from (5.51) that

$$\partial_t(f^{(1)} + \overline{f^{(2)}}) = -\operatorname{tr}\mathrm{Re}\, H(t),$$

and therefore,

$$f^{(1)} + \overline{f^{(2)}} = C - \int_{t_0}^{t} \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(H(s))ds. \tag{5.55}$$

Choosing $f^{(1)}(t_0)$ and $f^{(2)}(t_0)$ so that

$$\frac{e^{f^{(1)}(t_0)+f^{(2)}(t_0)}\pi^{(n-2)/2}}{\sqrt{\det(\operatorname{Im} H(t_0))}} = 1, \tag{5.56}$$

we obtain from (5.52) using (5.53), (5.54) and (5.55) that

$$\lim_{\mu\to\infty} \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} v_s \overline{w_s} \psi dV_{g_0} = \int_0^L e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1',t)+\overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1',t)}} \eta(x_1,t)\psi(t,0)dt. \tag{5.57}$$

This completes the proof of (5.8) in the case when supp $(\psi) \subset W_k$.

Let us now establish (5.8) when supp $(\psi) \subset V_j$. Here on supp (ψ) we have

$$v_s = \sum_{l:\gamma(t_l)=p_j} v_s^{(l)}, \quad w_s = \sum_{l:\gamma(t_l)=p_j} w_s^{(l)},$$

and hence,

$$v_s \overline{w_s} = \sum_{l:\gamma(t_l)=p_j} v_s^{(l)} \overline{w_s^{(l)}} + \sum_{l\neq l', \gamma(t_l)=\gamma(t_{l'})=p_j} v_s^{(l)} \overline{w_s^{(l')}}. \tag{5.58}$$

Arguing similarly to [10], we shall show that the contribution of the mixed terms vanishes in the limit $\mu \to \infty$, i.e. if $l \neq l'$,

$$\lim_{\mu\to\infty} \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} v_s^{(l)} \overline{w_s^{(l')}} \psi dV_{g_0} = 0. \tag{5.59}$$

To that end, write

$$v_s^{(l)} = e^{i\mu \operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l)}} p^{(l)}, \quad p^{(l)} = e^{-\lambda \operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l)}} e^{-s\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l)}} a^{(l)},$$

and

$$w_s^{(l')} = e^{i\mu \operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l')}} q^{(l')}, \quad q^{(l')} = e^{-\lambda \operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l')}} e^{-s\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l')}} b^{(l')},$$

and therefore,

$$v_s^{(l)} \overline{w_s^{(l')}} = e^{i\mu\phi} p^{(l)} \overline{q^{(l')}}, \tag{5.60}$$

where

$$\phi = \operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l)} - \operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l')}.$$

Thus, in view of (5.59) and (5.60) we shall show that for $l \neq l'$,

$$\lim_{\mu\to\infty} \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} e^{i\mu\phi} p^{(l)} \overline{q^{(l')}} \psi dV_{g_0} = 0. \tag{5.61}$$

Since $\partial_t \varphi^{(l)}(t,0) = \partial_t \varphi^{(l')}(t,0) = 1$ and the geodesic intersects itself transversally, as explained in [18, Lemma 7.2], we see that $d\phi(p_j) \neq 0$. By decreasing the set V_j if necessary, we may assume that $d\phi \neq 0$ in V_j.

To prove (5.61), we shall integrate by parts and in doing so, we let $\varepsilon > 0$ and decompose $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, where $\psi_1 \in C^\infty(M_0)$, supp $(\psi_1) \subset V_j$ and and $\|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty(V_j\cap M_0)} \leq \varepsilon$. Notice that ψ may be nonzero on ∂M_0. We have

$$\left| \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} e^{i\mu\phi} p^{(l)} \overline{q^{(l')}} \psi_2 dV_{g_0} \right| \leq \|v_s^{(l)}\|_{L^2} \|w_s^{(l)}\|_{L^2} \|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \tag{5.62}$$

For the smooth part ψ_1, we integrate by parts using that

$$e^{i\mu\phi} = \frac{1}{i\mu} L(e^{i\mu\phi}), \quad L = \frac{1}{|d\phi|^2} \langle d\phi, d\cdot \rangle_{g_0}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} e^{i\mu\phi}p^{(l)}\overline{q^{(l')}}\psi_1 dV_{g_0} =& \int_{\{x_1'\}\times(V_j\cap\partial M_0)} \frac{\partial_\nu\phi}{i\mu|d\phi|^2}e^{i\mu\phi}p^{(l)}\overline{q^{(l')}}\psi_1 dS\\ &+\frac{1}{i\mu}\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} e^{i\mu\phi}L^t(p^{(l)}\overline{q^{(l')}}\psi_1)dV_{g_0},\end{aligned} \tag{5.63}$$

where $L^t=-L-\operatorname{div}L$ is the transpose of L.

In view of (5.39), the boundary term is of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1})$ as $\mu\to\infty$. To estimate the second term in the right hand side of (5.63), we recall that

$$\begin{aligned}p^{(l)}\overline{q^{(l')}} = e^{-\lambda(\operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l)}+\operatorname{Re}\varphi^{(l')})}e^{-i\lambda(\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l)}-\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l')})}e^{-\mu(\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l)}+\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l')})}\\ \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}a_0^{(l)}(x_1',t,\tau)\overline{b_0^{(l')}(x_1',t,\tau)}\chi^2\Big(\frac{y}{\delta'}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that to bound the second term in the right hand side of (5.63), it suffices to analyze the contributions occurring when differentiating

$$e^{-\mu(\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l)}+\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l')})}a_0^{(l)}(x_1',t,\tau)\overline{b_0^{(l')}(x_1',t,\tau)},$$

as all the other contributions are of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\mu})$, as $\mu\to\infty$.

As in [10], we have

$$|L(e^{-\mu(\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l)}+\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l')})})|\leq\mathcal{O}(\mu)|d(\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l)}+\operatorname{Im}\varphi^{(l')})|e^{-\mu c|y|^2}\leq\mathcal{O}(\mu|y|)e^{-\mu c|y|^2},$$

which shows that the corresponding contribution to the second term in the right hand side of (5.63) is of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1/2})$.

Now it follows from (5.26) that

$$|L(a_0^{(l)}(x_1',t,\tau)\overline{b_0^{(l')}(x_1',t,\tau)})|\leq\mathcal{O}(\mu^\sigma),$$

with $0<\sigma<1/2$, and thus, the corresponding contribution to the second term in the right hand side of (5.63) is of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-(1-\sigma)})$. This shows that the integral in the left hand side of (5.63) goes to 0 as $\mu\to\infty$, and this together with (5.62) establishes (5.59).

Using (5.57) for each of the factors $v_s^{(l)}\overline{w_s^{(l)}}$ in (5.58), we get

$$\lim_{\mu\to\infty}\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} v_s^{(l)}\overline{w_s^{(l)}}\psi dV_{g_0}=\int_{I_l}e^{-2\lambda t}e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1',t)+\overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1',t)}}\eta(x_1,t)\psi(t,0)dt.$$

Summing over I_l such that $\gamma(t_l)=p_j$, we get (5.8) when supp $(\psi)\subset V_j$, and hence, in general.

Finally let us check (5.9) for $\alpha\in C(M,T^*M)$, $\psi\in C(M_0)$ and $x_1'\in\mathbb{R}$. Using a partition of unity, it is enough to verify (5.9) in the following two cases: supp $(\psi)\subset W_k$ and supp $(\psi)\subset V_j$. Assume first that supp $(\psi)\subset W_k$. Using (5.48), and writing $z=(t,y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}h\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}\langle\alpha,dv_s\rangle_g\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} =& h\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}\big(\alpha_1(\partial_{x_1}v_s)+g_0^{kj}\alpha_k(\partial_{z_j}v_s)\big)\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0}\\ =&ih(\mu+i\lambda)\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}g_0^{kj}\alpha_k(\partial_{z_j}\varphi)v_s\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0}\\ &+h\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}g_0^{kj}\alpha_k e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}(\partial_{z_j}a_0)\chi\Big(\frac{y}{\delta'}\Big)\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0}\\ &+h\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}g_0^{kj}\alpha_k e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}a_0\Big(\partial_{z_j}\chi\Big(\frac{y}{\delta'}\Big)\Big)\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0}\\ &+h\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}\alpha_1 e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}(\partial_{x_1}a_0)\chi\Big(\frac{y}{\delta'}\Big)\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.64}$$

Let us first show that the second, third and fourth integrals in the right hand side of (5.64) vanish in the limit as $h \to 0$. For the second integral, we have

$$h\left|\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} g_0^{kj}\alpha_k e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}(\partial_{z_j}a_0)\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0}\right| \\ \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{1-\sigma})\|e^{is\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{4}}\|_{L^2(\{|y|\leq\delta'/2\})}\|w_s\|_{L^2(M_0)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1-\sigma}) \to 0,$$

as $h \to 0$, since $0 < \sigma < 1/2$. The fourth integral is estimated similarly and bounding the third integral is even more straightforward.

When computing the limit of the first integral in the right hand side of (5.64), we may neglect the contribution containing λ and we only have to show that

$$ih\mu\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} g_0^{kj}\alpha_k(\partial_{z_j}\varphi)v_s\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} \\ \to \int_0^L i\alpha_t(x_1',t,0)e^{-2\lambda t}e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1',t)+\overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1',t)}}\eta(x_1,t)\psi(t,0)dt, \tag{5.65}$$

as $h \to 0$. To that end, we proceed as in (5.49). Using (5.11), (5.19), and that

$$\partial_t\varphi = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2), \quad \nabla_y\varphi = \mathcal{O}(|y|),$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &i\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} g_0^{kj}\alpha_k(x_1',t,y)(\partial_{z_j}\varphi)v_s\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} \\ &=i\int_0^L\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} g_0^{kj}\alpha_k(x_1',t,y)(\partial_{z_j}\varphi)e^{-2\mu\mathrm{Im}\varphi}e^{-2\lambda\mathrm{Re}\varphi}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}a_0(x_1',t;\mu)\overline{b_0(x_1',t;\mu)} \\ &\quad\chi^2\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\psi(t,y)|g_0|^{\frac12}dtdy \\ &=i\int_0^L\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}(\alpha_t(x_1',t,y)+\mathcal{O}(|y|))e^{-\mu\mathrm{Im}H(t)y\cdot y} \\ &\quad e^{-2\lambda t}e^{\lambda\mathcal{O}(|y|^2)}\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}a_0(x_1',t;\mu)\overline{b_0(x_1',t;\mu)}\chi^2\left(\frac{y}{\delta'}\right)\psi(t,y)dtdy. \end{aligned} \tag{5.66}$$

Performing the change of variables $\mu^{1/2}y = x$ in (5.66) and passing to the limit $h = \frac{1}{\mu} \to 0$ by means of the dominated convergence theorem, we get in view of (5.56),

$$\lim_{h\to 0} i\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} g_0^{kj}\alpha_k(\partial_{z_j}\varphi)v_s\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} \\ = \int_0^L i\alpha_t(x_1',t,0)e^{-2\lambda t}e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1',t)+\overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1',t)}}\eta(x_1,t)\psi(t,0)dt.$$

This concludes the proof of (5.65) and thus, of (5.9) when supp $(\psi) \subset W_k$.

Assume now that supp $(\psi) \subset V_j$. In this case we write

$$v_s = \sum_{\gamma(t_l)=p_j} v_s^{(l)}, \quad w_s = \sum_{\gamma(t_l)=p_j} w_s^{(l)},$$

on supp (ψ). Then we have

$$h\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}\langle\alpha, dv_s\rangle_g\overline{w_s}\psi dV_{g_0} = h\sum_{l:\gamma(t_l)=p_j}\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}\langle\alpha, dv_s^{(l)}\rangle_g\overline{w_s^{(l)}}\psi dV_{g_0} \\ +h\sum_{l\neq l',\gamma(t_l)=\gamma(t_{l'})=p_j}\int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0}\langle\alpha, dv_s^{(l)}\rangle_g\overline{w_s^{(l')}}\psi dV_{g_0}.$$

As before, see (5.59), we want to show that the mixed terms vanish in the limit as $h \to 0$, i.e. if $l \neq l'$,

$$\lim_{h\to 0} h \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} \langle \alpha, dv_s^{(l)} \rangle_g \overline{w_s^{(l')}} \psi dV_{g_0} = 0. \tag{5.67}$$

In view of (5.64) we only have to check that

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} g_0^{kj} \alpha_k (\partial_{z_j} \varphi^{(l)}) v_s^{(l)} \overline{w_s^{(l')}} \psi dV_{g_0} = 0.$$

This follows by repeating a non-stationary phase argument as in the proof of (5.61). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h\to 0} h \int_{\{x_1'\}\times M_0} & \langle \alpha, dv_s \rangle_g \overline{w_s} \psi dV_{g_0} \\ &= \sum_{l:\gamma(t_l)=p_j} \int_{I_l} i\alpha_t(x_1', t, 0) e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1', t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1', t)}} \eta(x_1, t) \psi(t, 0) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (5.9) when supp $(\psi) \subset V_j$ and hence, in general.

The proof of (5.10) is analogous to the proof of (5.9). This completes the proof of Proposition 5.2. □

6. Construction of complex geometric optics solutions based on Gaussian beam quasimodes

Let (M, g) be a conformally transversally anisotropic manifold so that $(M, g) \subset (\mathbb{R} \times M_0^0, c(e \oplus g_0))$ and let $A \in C^\infty(M, T^*M)$ and $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\widetilde{g} = e \oplus g_0$. Then for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $L_{g,A,q}$ defined in (1.2), we have

$$c^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \circ L_{g,A,q} \circ c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} = L_{\widetilde{g},A,\widetilde{q}}. \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\widetilde{q} = c\big(q - c^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \Delta_g (c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}})\big).$$

Indeed, first recall from [10] that

$$c^{\frac{n+2}{4}} (-\Delta_g)(c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} \widetilde{u}) = -\Delta_{\widetilde{g}} \widetilde{u} - \big(c^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \Delta_g (c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}})\big) \widetilde{u}. \tag{6.2}$$

Using that

$$|g| = c^n |\widetilde{g}|, \quad g^{ij} = c^{-1} \widetilde{g}^{ij},$$

we get

$$c^{\frac{n+2}{4}} i d_g^* (A c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} \widetilde{u}) = i d_{\widetilde{g}}^* (A\widetilde{u}) - i\left(\frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) c^{-1} \widetilde{u} \langle A, dc \rangle_{\widetilde{g}}, \tag{6.3}$$

$$-ic^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \langle A, d(c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} \widetilde{u}) \rangle_g = -i\langle A, d\widetilde{u} \rangle_{\widetilde{g}} + i\left(\frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) c^{-1} \widetilde{u} \langle A, dc \rangle_{\widetilde{g}}, \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$c^{\frac{n+2}{4}} (\langle A, A \rangle_g^2 + q)(c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} \widetilde{u}) = (\langle A, A \rangle_{\widetilde{g}}^2 + cq)\widetilde{u}. \tag{6.5}$$

Thus, (6.1) follows from (6.2), (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5).

Let us write $x = (x_1, x')$ for local coordinates in $\mathbb{R} \times M_0$, and let

$$s = \mu + i\lambda, \quad 1 \leq \mu = \frac{1}{h}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \text{ fixed}.$$

We are interested in finding complex geometric optics solution to the equation

$$L_{\widetilde{g},A,\widetilde{q}} \widetilde{u} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad M, \tag{6.6}$$

having the form

$$\widetilde{u} = e^{-sx_1}(v + r),$$

where $v = v_s$ is the Gaussian beam quasimode given in Proposition 5.2, and $r = r_s$ is a correction term. Thus, $\widetilde{u}$ is a solution of (6.6) provided that

$$e^{\frac{x_1}{h}} h^2 L_{\widetilde{g},A,\widetilde{q}} e^{-\frac{x_1}{h}} (e^{-i\lambda x_1} r) = -e^{-i\lambda x_1} e^{sx_1} h^2 L_{\widetilde{g},A,\widetilde{q}} e^{-sx_1} v. \tag{6.7}$$

By Proposition 2.4 and (5.6), for all $h > 0$ small enough, there is $r \in H^1(M^0)$ such that (6.7) holds and $\|r\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}(M^0)} = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$. To summarize, we have the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Let $s = \frac{1}{h} + i\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ being fixed. For all $h > 0$ small enough, there is a solution $u_1 \in H^1(M^0)$ of $L_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} u_1 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$ having the form*

$$u_1 = e^{-sx_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} (v_s + r_1),$$

where $v_s \in C^\infty(M)$ is the Gaussian beam quasimode given in Proposition 5.2, and $r_1 \in H^1(M^0)$ is such that $\|r_1\|_{H^1_{scl}(M^0)} = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$.

Similarly, for all $h > 0$ small enough, there is a solution $u_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ of $L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}} u_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$ having the form

$$u_2 = e^{sx_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{4}} (w_s + r_2),$$

where $w_s \in C^\infty(M)$ is the Gaussian beam quasimode given in Proposition 5.2, and $r_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ is such that $\|r_2\|_{H^1_{scl}(M^0)} = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$.

7. Determining the magnetic field in Theorem 1.5

First by the boundary reconstruction result of Proposition A.1, we have $\langle A^{(1)}(x_0) - A^{(2)}(x_0), \tau \rangle = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \partial M$ and all $\tau \in T_{x_0}\partial M$. Furthermore, using a partition of unity, flattening the boundary, and applying [16, Theorem 1.3.3], we conclude that there exists $\psi \in C^1(M)$ such that $\psi|_{\partial M} = 0$ and $d\psi = A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}$ on ∂M. By Lemma 4.1, we get

$$C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}} = C_{g,A^{(2)}+d\psi,q^{(2)}},$$

and replacing $A^{(2)}$ by $A^{(2)} + d\psi$, we see that in what follows we may assume that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ on ∂M. We may therefore extend $\widetilde{A} = A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}$ by zero to the complement of M in $\mathbb{R} \times M_0$, so that the extension $\widetilde{A}$ is continuous.

Our next point is the integral identify (4.3). Here, similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we would like to substitute the complex geometric optics solutions of Proposition 6.1, multiply by h and pass to the limit $h \to 0$. In the computations below we shall use the following consequences of Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 6.1,

$$\|v_s\|_{L^2(M)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|w_s\|_{L^2(M)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{7.1}$$

$$\|dv_s\|_{L^2(M)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}), \quad \|dw_s\|_{L^2(M)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}), \tag{7.2}$$

$$\|r_1\|_{L^2(M)} = o(1), \quad \|r_1\|_{L^2(M)} = o(1), \tag{7.3}$$

$$\|dr_1\|_{L^2(M)} = o(h^{-1}), \quad \|dr_2\|_{L^2(M)} = o(h^{-1}), \tag{7.4}$$

as $h \to 0$.

First, we have

$$u_1 \overline{u_2} = e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} (v_s \overline{w_s} + v_s \overline{r_2} + \overline{w_s} r_1 + r_1 \overline{r_2}),$$

and therefore, using that (7.1), and (7.3), we see that

$$h \left| \int_M (\langle A^{(1)}, A^{(1)} \rangle_g - \langle A^{(2)}, A^{(2)} \rangle_g + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) u_1 \overline{u_2} dV_g \right| = \mathcal{O}(h), \quad h \to 0.$$

We get

$$u_1 d\overline{u_2} - \overline{u_2} du_1 = e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} \\ \big[(v_s + r_1)(d\overline{w_s} + d\overline{r_2}) - (dv_s + dr_1)(\overline{w_s} + \overline{r_2}) + \frac{2}{h}(v_s + r_1)(\overline{w_s} + \overline{r_2}) dx_1\big].$$

Using (7.1), (7.3), we obtain that

$$h\left|\frac{2}{h} i \int_M \langle \widetilde{A}, dx_1\rangle_g [v_s \overline{r_2} + \overline{w_s} r_1 + r_1 \overline{r_2}] e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} dV_g\right| = o(1), \quad h \to 0.$$

Using (7.2) and (7.3), we conclude that

$$h\left|\int_M [\langle \widetilde{A}, d\overline{w_s}\rangle_g r_1 - \langle \widetilde{A}, dv_s\rangle_g \overline{r_2}] e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} dV_g\right| = o(1), \quad h \to 0.$$

Using (7.1), (7.3), and (7.4), we get

$$h\left|\int_M [\langle \widetilde{A}, d\overline{r_2}\rangle_g (v_s + r_1) - \langle \widetilde{A}, dr_1\rangle_g (\overline{w_s} + \overline{r_2})] e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} dV_g\right| = o(1),$$

as $h \to 0$.

Now using that $g = c(e \oplus g_0)$, we get $\langle \widetilde{A}, dx_1\rangle_g = c^{-1}\widetilde{A}_1$. Writing $x = (x_1, x')$, $x' \in M_0$, using the fact that $\widetilde{A} = 0$ outside of M, Fubini's theorem, (5.8), and the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that

$$2i \int_M \langle \widetilde{A}, dx_1\rangle_g v_s \overline{w_s} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} dV_g = 2i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} \bigg(\int_{M_0} \widetilde{A}_1(x_1, x') v_s \overline{w_s} dV_{g_0} \bigg) dx_1 \\ \to 2i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} \int_0^L e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1,t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1,t)}} \eta(x_1, t) \widetilde{A}_1(x_1, \gamma(t)) dt dx_1,$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}, \Phi^{(2)} \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, L])$ satisfy the following transport equations,

$$(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_t)\Phi^{(1)} = -iA_1^{(1)}(x_1, \gamma(t)) - A_t^{(1)}(x_1, \gamma(t)), \tag{7.5}$$

$$(\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_t)\Phi^{(2)} = -i\overline{A_1^{(2)}(x_1, \gamma(t))} + \overline{A_t^{(2)}(x_1, \gamma(t))}. \tag{7.6}$$

Setting $\widetilde{g} = e \oplus g_0$, we see that

$$\langle \widetilde{A}, d\overline{w_s}\rangle_g = c^{-1}\langle \widetilde{A}, d\overline{w_s}\rangle_{\widetilde{g}}.$$

Using this formula, the fact that $\widetilde{A} = 0$ outside of M, Fubini's theorem, (5.10), and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$hi \int_M \langle \widetilde{A}, d\overline{w_s}\rangle_g v_s e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} dV_g = ih \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} \bigg(\int_{M_0} \langle \widetilde{A}, d\overline{w_s}\rangle_{\widetilde{g}} v_s dV_{g_0} \bigg) dx_1 \\ \to -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} \int_0^L i\widetilde{A}_t(x_1, \gamma(t)) e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1,t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1,t)}} \eta(x_1, t) dt dx_1.$$

Similarly, using (5.9), we have

$$-hi \int_M \langle \widetilde{A}, dv_s\rangle_g \overline{w_s} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} c^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} dV_g \\ \to -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\lambda x_1} \int_0^L i\widetilde{A}_t(x_1, \gamma(t)) e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1,t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1,t)}} \eta(x_1, t) dt dx_1.$$

Hence, substituting complex geometric optics solutions of Proposition 6.1 into the integral identify (4.3), we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^L e^{-2i\lambda x_1} (\widetilde{A}_1(x_1, \gamma(t)) - i\widetilde{A}_t(x_1, \gamma(t))) e^{-2\lambda t} e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1,t) + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1,t)}} \eta(x_1, t) dt dx_1 = 0. \tag{7.7}$$

Here the domain of integration can be replaced by a bounded simply connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with smooth boundary containing the support of $\widetilde{A}$. Now using (7.5) and (7.6) and writing $z = x_1 + it$, we see that

$$\partial(\Phi^{(1)} + \overline{\Phi^{(2)}}) = -\frac{i}{2}(\widetilde{A}_1(x_1, \gamma(t)) - i\widetilde{A}_t(x_1, \gamma(t))).$$

It follows from (7.7) that

$$\int_\Omega \partial\big(\widetilde{\eta}(x_1,t)e^{\Phi^{(1)}(x_1,t)+\overline{\Phi^{(2)}(x_1,t)}}\big)dtdx_1 = 0,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\eta}(x_1,t) = e^{-2i\lambda(x_1-it)}\eta(x_1,t), \quad \partial\widetilde{\eta} = 0.$$

Repeating the arguments leading from (4.18) to (4.20), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_0^L e^{-2i\lambda x_1 - 2\lambda t}(\widetilde{A}_1(x_1,\gamma(t)) - i\widetilde{A}_t(x_1,\gamma(t)))dtdx_1 = 0. \tag{7.8}$$

Letting

$$f(x',\lambda) = \int e^{-i\lambda x_1}\widetilde{A}_1(x_1,x')dx_1, \quad x' \in M_0,$$
$$\alpha(x',\lambda) = \sum_{j=2}^n \bigg(\int e^{-i\lambda x_1}\widetilde{A}_j(x_1,x')dx_1\bigg)dx_j,$$

we have $f \in C(M_0)$, $\alpha \in C(M_0, T^*M_0)$ and we conclude from (7.8), replacing 2λ by λ, that

$$\int_0^L \big[f(\gamma(t),\lambda) - i\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),\lambda)\big]e^{-\lambda t}dt = 0, \tag{7.9}$$

along any unit speed non-tangential geodesic $\gamma : [0, L] \to M_0$ on M_0, and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Following [10] and [5], we shall use the geodesic transform and proceed as follows. First, evaluating (7.9) at $\lambda = 0$ and using the injectivity of the unattenuated geodesic transform, we get

$$f(x',0) = 0, \quad \alpha(x',0) = idp_0(x'), \tag{7.10}$$

for some $p_0 \in C^1(M_0)$ such that $p_0|_{\partial M_0} = 0$. Next differentiating (7.9) with respect to λ and letting $\lambda = 0$, we get

$$\int_0^L \big[\partial_\lambda f(\gamma(t),0) - i\partial_\lambda\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0) + it\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0)\big]dt = 0. \tag{7.11}$$

Using that

$$\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0) = idp_0(\dot\gamma(t)) = i\frac{d}{dt}p_0(\gamma(t)), \tag{7.12}$$

and integrating by parts in (7.11), we see that

$$\int_0^L \big[\partial_\lambda f(\gamma(t),0) + p_0(\gamma(t)) - i\partial_\lambda\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0)\big]dt = 0.$$

The injectivity of the geodesic transform implies that

$$\partial_\lambda f(x',0) + p_0(x') = 0, \quad \partial_\lambda\alpha(x',0) = idp_1(x'), \tag{7.13}$$

for some $p_1 \in C^1(M_0)$ such that $p_1|_{\partial M_0} = 0$. Differentiating (7.9) twice with respect to λ, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^L \big[t^2\big(f(\gamma(t),\lambda) - i\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),\lambda)\big) - 2t\partial_\lambda\big(f(\gamma(t),\lambda) - i\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),\lambda)\big)\\ +\partial_\lambda^2\big(f(\gamma(t),\lambda) - i\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),\lambda)\big)\big]e^{-\lambda t}dt = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

By (7.12) and integration by parts, we have

$$-\int_0^L it^2\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0)dt = \int_0^L t^2\frac{d}{dt}p_0(\gamma(t))dt = -\int_0^L 2tp_0(\gamma(t))dt, \tag{7.15}$$

and similarly,

$$\int_0^L 2it\partial_\lambda \alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0)dt = -2\int_0^L t\frac{d}{dt}p_1(\gamma(t))dt = 2\int_0^L p_1(\gamma(t))dt. \tag{7.16}$$

Letting $\lambda = 0$ in (7.14) and using (7.10), (7.13), (7.15), (7.16), we get

$$\int_0^L \left[\partial_\lambda^2 f(\gamma(t),0) + 2p_1(\gamma(t)) - i\partial_\lambda^2\alpha(\dot\gamma(t),0)\right]dt = 0,$$

and by the injectivity of the geodesic transform, we have

$$\partial_\lambda^2 f(x',0) + 2p_1(x') = 0, \quad \partial_\lambda^2\alpha(x',0) = idp_2(x')$$

some $p_2 \in C^1(M_0)$ such that $p_2|_{\partial M_0} = 0$. Proceeding further by induction as in [5], we conclude that

$$\partial_\lambda^l f(x',0) + lp_{l-1}(x') = 0, \quad \partial_\lambda^l\alpha(x',0) = idp_l(x'), \quad l = 0,1,2,\dots, \tag{7.17}$$

for some $p_l \in C^1(M_0)$ such that $p_l|_{\partial M_0} = 0$. Here $p_{-1} = 0$.

Viewing $\widetilde A_j \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_{x_1}, L^2(M_0))$, we see that $\mathcal{F}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\widetilde A(x_1,x')) \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(M_0))$. Using (7.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\lambda^l|_{\lambda=0}\mathcal{F}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\partial_{x_j}\widetilde A_k - \partial_{x_k}\widetilde A_j) &= \partial_{x_j}\partial_\lambda^l|_{\lambda=0}\alpha_k - \partial_{x_k}\partial_\lambda^l|_{\lambda=0}\alpha_j \\ &= i(\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_k}p_l - \partial_{x_k}\partial_{x_j}p_l) = 0, \quad j,k = 2,\dots,n,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\partial_{x_j}\widetilde A_k - \partial_{x_k}\widetilde A_j = 0, \quad j,k = 2,\dots,n.$$

Also by (7.17), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\lambda^l|_{\lambda=0}\mathcal{F}_{x_1\to\lambda}(\partial_{x_j}\widetilde A_1 - \partial_{x_1}\widetilde A_j) &= \partial_{x_j}\partial_\lambda^l|_{\lambda=0}f - \partial_\lambda^l|_{\lambda=0}(i\lambda\alpha_j) \\ &= -l\partial_{x_j}p_{l-1} - il\partial_\lambda^{l-1}|_{\lambda=0}\alpha_j = 0,\end{aligned}$$

thus, $\partial_{x_j}\widetilde A_1 - \partial_{x_1}\widetilde A_j = 0$. Hence, $d\widetilde A = 0$ in M, and therefore, $dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}$ in M.

8. Determining the holonomy and completing the proof of Theorem 1.5

Throughout this section we assume that (M,g) is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary ∂M. Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)} = q \in L^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. We set $\widetilde A = A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}$. Let $\nabla^{\widetilde A} = d + i\widetilde A$ be the connection on the trivial line bundle $M\times\mathbb{C}$ over M associated to $\widetilde A$.

Our starting point is the fact that $d\widetilde A = 0$, which can be viewed as the statement that the curvature of the connection $\nabla^{\widetilde A}$ vanishes, see [30].

Let us recall some definitions and facts following [30] and [14, Section 6]. Given a C^1 curve $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$, the parallel transport along γ is obtained by solving the initial value problem for the linear ODE,

$$\begin{cases}\dot s(t) + i\widetilde A(\gamma(t),\dot\gamma(t))s(t) = 0, \\ s(a) = s_0 \in \mathbb{C}.\end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

We observe that for $\widetilde A \in C(M, T^*M)$, problem (8.1) has a unique solution. Associated to (8.1), we introduce the linear map $P_\gamma^{\widetilde A} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $P_\gamma^{\widetilde A}(s_0) = s(b)$. We have

$$P_\gamma^{\widetilde A} = e^{-i\int_\gamma \widetilde A}.$$

The holonomy group of the connection $\nabla^{\widetilde A}$ at a point $m \in M$ is given by

$$H_m(\nabla^{\widetilde A}) = \{P_\gamma^{\widetilde A} \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\} : \gamma \text{ is a loop based at } m\}.$$

Let $\pi_1(M,m)$ be the fundamental group of M at m, i.e. the set of all loops based at m up to homotopy equivalence. Using the fact that the curvature $d\widetilde A = 0$, we see that the map $\gamma \mapsto P_\gamma^{\widetilde A}$ gives rise to a natural group homomorphism

$$\rho_m^{\widetilde A} : \pi_1(M,m) \to H_m(\nabla^{\widetilde A}).$$

The homomorphism $\rho_m^{\widetilde{A}}$ is called the holonomy representation of $\widetilde{A}$ into $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, and $\rho_m^{\widetilde{A}}$ is trivial if and only if

$$e^{-i\int_\gamma \widetilde{A}} = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \int_\gamma \widetilde{A} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}, \tag{8.2}$$

for all loops γ based at m. If M is connected, the fact that the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M,m)$ are isomorphic for different points m upon conjugation by an appropriate curve implies that the condition (8.2) is independent of m.

The main result of this section is as follows. It can be viewed as an analog of [14, Theorem 6.1] and [5, Theorem 6.3] for magnetic potentials which are merely continuous.

Proposition 8.1. *Assume that $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ and $q \in L^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. Set $\widetilde{A} = A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}$. If $C_{g,A^{(1)},q} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q}$ and $d\widetilde{A} = 0$ then the holonomy representation $\rho_m^{\widetilde{A}}$ is trivial for every $m \in M$.*

Assuming that Proposition 8.1 has been proved, let us complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_N$ be the connected components of the compact manifold M, and let $m_j \in \partial M_j \subset \partial M$. Let $F \in C^1(M,\mathbb{C})$ be given by

$$F(m') = e^{i\int_{\gamma_{m_j m'}} \widetilde{A}}, \quad \text{if} \quad m' \in M_j, \tag{8.3}$$

where $\gamma_{m_j m'}$ is a C^∞ path joining m_j and m' in M_j. The function F is well defined in the sense that it does not depend on a choice of the path between m_j and m', since the holonomy representation $\rho_{m_j}^{\widetilde{A}}$ is trivial.

As in the beginning of Section 7, without loss of generality, we may assume that $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ on ∂M. This together with (8.3) implies that $F = 1$ on ∂M. In view of (8.3) we see that

$$F^{-1} \circ d_{A^{(2)}} \circ F = d_{A^{(1)}}, \quad F^{-1} \circ d^*_{\overline{A^{(2)}}} \circ F = d^*_{\overline{A^{(1)}}},$$

and thus,

$$F^{-1} \circ L_{g,A^{(2)},q} \circ F = L_{g,A^{(1)},q}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Proposition 8.1. If suffices to check that the holonomy representation $\rho_m^{\widetilde{A}}$ is trivial for $m \in \partial M$. Let γ be a loop at $m \in \partial M$ and let us show that

$$\int_\gamma \widetilde{A} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

In doing so we shall follow [14], [5], and replace γ by a homotopically equivalent loop $\widetilde{\gamma} : [0,2] \to M$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}(0) = m$, $\widetilde{\gamma}(1) = \widetilde{m} \in \partial M$, $\widetilde{m} \neq m$, $\gamma_1 := \widetilde{\gamma}((0,1)) \subset M^0$ and $\gamma_2 := \widetilde{\gamma}([1,2]) \subset \partial M$. As explained in [5], we may assume that γ_1 and γ_2 are embedded curves. Using that the tangential component of $\widetilde{A}$ vanishes along ∂M, we have

$$\int_\gamma \widetilde{A} = \int_{\gamma_1} \widetilde{A}. \tag{8.4}$$

Let $U = \{x \in M^0 : \mathrm{dist}(x,\gamma_1) < \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ small, be a tubular neighborhood of γ_1. The set U is diffeomorphic to $(0,1)\times B(0,\varepsilon)$, where $B(0,\varepsilon)$ is an open $(n-1)$-dimensional ball centered at 0 of radius ε, and therefore, U is simply connected. When $x \in U$, let

$$\varphi(x) = \int_m^x \widetilde{A} \in C^1(\overline{U}). \tag{8.5}$$

The function φ is well defined since $d\widetilde{A} = 0$ and U is simply connected. We have $d\varphi = \widetilde{A} = A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}$, and therefore,

$$e^{-i\varphi} \circ L_{g,A^{(2)},q} \circ e^{i\varphi} = L_{g,A^{(1)},q} \quad \text{in} \quad U. \tag{8.6}$$

Let $U_1 = \{x \in \partial M : d(x, m) < \varepsilon\}$. Then $\varphi|_{U_1} = 0$.

Let $f \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ be such that $f(\widetilde{m}) \neq 0$, and let $u_1 \in H^1(M^0)$ be the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g,A^{(1)},q} u_1 = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(M^0), \\ u_1|_{\partial M} = f. \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

As $C_{g,A^{(1)},q} = C_{g,A^{(2)},q}$, we conclude that there exists $u_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g,A^{(2)},q} u_2 = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(M^0), \\ u_2|_{\partial M} = f, \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

and

$$\langle d_{A^{(1)}} u_1, \nu\rangle_g|_{\partial M} = \langle d_{A^{(2)}} u_2, \nu\rangle_g|_{\partial M}. \tag{8.9}$$

Letting

$$v = e^{-i\varphi} u_2 \in H^1(U),$$

and using (8.6) and (8.8), we see that

$$L_{g,A^{(1)},q} v = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(U),$$

and therefore, by (8.7), we get

$$L_{g,A^{(1)},q}(v - u_1) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(U). \tag{8.10}$$

We also obtain that

$$(v - u_1)|_{U_1} = 0, \quad \langle d_{A^{(1)}}(v - u_1), \nu\rangle_g|_{U_1} = 0. \tag{8.11}$$

Indeed, let $\chi \in H^{1/2}(\partial M)$, supp $(\chi) \subset U_1$, and using (1.6), (8.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle d_{A^{(1)}} v, \nu\rangle_g, \chi\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} &= \langle\langle d_{A^{(2)}+d\varphi}(e^{-i\varphi} u_2), \nu\rangle_g, e^{i\varphi}\chi\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} \\ &= \langle\langle d_{A^{(2)}} u_2, \nu\rangle_g, \chi\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)} = \langle\langle d_{A^{(1)}} u_1, \nu\rangle_g, \chi\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)\times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial M)}, \end{aligned}$$

showing the second equality in (8.11).

Now in view of (8.10) and (8.11), by the unique continuation stated in Proposition 8.2 below, we conclude that $u_1 = v = e^{-i\varphi} u_2$ in U. Letting $U_2 = \{x \in \partial M : d(x, \widetilde{m}) < \varepsilon\}$, we get the equality of the traces,

$$u_1 = e^{-i\varphi} u_2 \quad \text{in} \quad H^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_2),$$

and therefore, $f = e^{-i\varphi} f$ in U_2. As $f \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ and $f(\widetilde{m}) \neq 0$, we obtain that $e^{-i\varphi(\widetilde{m})} = 1$. Hence, in view of (8.5),

$$\varphi(\widetilde{m}) = \int_{\gamma_1} \widetilde{A} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

This together with (8.4) completes the proof of Proposition 8.1.

In the proof of Proposition 8.1 we have used the following unique continuation result which is a direct consequence of a simplified version of [21, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 8.2. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary ∂M, let $U \subset M^0$ be an open connected set and let $\Gamma \subset \partial U \cap \partial M$ be an open non-empty set of class C^∞. Let $A \in L^\infty(M, T^*M)$, $q \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$, and let $u \in H^1(U)$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g,A,q} u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(U), \\ u|_\Gamma = 0, \quad \langle d_A u, \nu\rangle_g|_\Gamma = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $u = 0$ in U.

Proof. Let us isometrically embed the manifold (M,g) into a larger closed manifold $(\widetilde{M},g)$ of the same dimension. Let $\widetilde{U}\subset\widetilde{M}$ be an open connected set such that $\widetilde{U}\supset U$, $\partial U\setminus\Gamma\subset\partial\widetilde{U}$ and $\widetilde{U}\setminus\overline{U}$ is non-empty. We extend A and q to $\widetilde{U}$ so that $A\in L^\infty(\widetilde{U},T^*\widetilde{U})$ and $q\in L^\infty(\widetilde{U},\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\widetilde{u}=\begin{cases}u & \text{in} \quad U,\\ 0 & \text{in} \quad \widetilde{U}\setminus\overline{U}.\end{cases} \tag{8.12}$$

Since $u|_\Gamma=0$, we have $u\in H^1(\widetilde{U})$ and

$$d\widetilde{u}=\begin{cases}du & \text{in} \quad U,\\ 0 & \text{in} \quad \widetilde{U}\setminus\overline{U}.\end{cases} \tag{8.13}$$

Let us check that

$$L_{g,A,q}\widetilde{u}=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(\widetilde{U}). \tag{8.14}$$

Indeed, for $\psi\in C_0^\infty(\widetilde{U})$, using (8.12), (8.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle L_{g,A,q}\widetilde{u},\psi\rangle &= \int_{\widetilde{U}}\big(\langle d\widetilde{u},d\psi\rangle_g+i\langle d\psi,A\widetilde{u}\rangle_g-i\langle A,d\widetilde{u}\rangle_g\psi+(\langle A,A\rangle_g+q)\widetilde{u}\psi\big)dV_g\\ &= \int_{U}\big(\langle d\widetilde{u},d\psi\rangle_g+i\langle d\psi,A\widetilde{u}\rangle_g-i\langle A,d\widetilde{u}\rangle_g\psi+(\langle A,A\rangle_g+q)\widetilde{u}\psi\big)dV_g\\ &= \langle\langle d_Au,\nu\rangle_g,\psi|_{\partial U}\rangle_{H^{-\frac12}(\partial U)\times H^{\frac12}(\partial U)}=0.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $\operatorname{supp}(\psi|_{\partial U})\subset\Gamma$ and the fact that $\langle d_Au,\nu\rangle_g|_\Gamma=0$. This shows (8.14).

Now as $\widetilde{u}\in H^1(\widetilde{U})$ satisfies (8.14) and $\widetilde{u}=0$ in $\widetilde{U}\setminus\overline{U}$, by the unique continuation result of [21, Theorem 1.1] we conclude that $\widetilde{u}$ vanishes identically on $\widetilde{U}$. The proof is complete. □

Appendix A. Boundary determination of a continuous magnetic potential

When proving Theorem 1.5, an important step consists in determining the boundary values of the tangential components of the continuous magnetic potentials. The purpose of this section is to carry out this step by adapting the method of [4] developed in the case of magnetic Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Compared with the latter work, here we treat the case of magnetic Schrödinger operators on a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with boundary and we do not assume the well-posedness of the Dirichlet problem for the magnetic Schrödinger operator.

To circumvent the difficulty related to the fact that zero may be a Dirichlet eigenvalue, we shall use the solvability result for the magnetic Schrödinger operator given in Proposition 2.4, which is based on the Carleman estimate with a gain of two derivatives established in Proposition 2.3. We have learned of the idea of using a Carleman estimate to handle the case when zero is a Dirichlet eigenvalue from the work [35] on the Dirac operator.

Proposition A.1. *Let (M,g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n\geq 3$ with smooth boundary ∂M, and let $A^{(1)},A^{(2)}\in C(M,T^*M)$ and $q^{(1)},q^{(2)}\in L^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. Assume that $C_{g,A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}=C_{g,A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$. Then $\langle\tau,A^{(1)}(x_0)-A^{(2)}(x_0)\rangle=0$, for all points $x_0\in\partial M$ and all unit tangent vectors $\tau\in T_{x_0}(\partial M)$. Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the duality between the tangent and cotangent bundles of M.*

Proof. We shall follow [4] closely. The idea is to construct some special solutions to the magnetic Schrödinger equations, whose boundary values have an oscillatory behavior while becoming increasingly concentrated near a given point on the boundary of M. Substituting these solutions into the integral identity of Proposition 4.3 will allow us to recover the tangential components of the magnetic potentials along the boundary.

Let $x_0 \in \partial M$ and let $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the boundary normal coordinates centered at x_0 so that in these coordinates, $x_0 = 0$, the boundary ∂M is given by $\{x_n = 0\}$, and M^0 is given by $\{x_n > 0\}$. It is then well known [25] that

$$g(x', x_n) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) dx_\alpha dx_\beta + (dx_n)^2,$$

and therefore,

$$-\Delta_g = D_{x_n}^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1} g^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_{x_\alpha} D_{x_\beta} + f(x) D_{x_n} + R(x, D_{x'}), \tag{A.1}$$

where f is a smooth function and R is a differential operator of order 1 in x' with smooth coefficients. We shall assume, as we may, that

$$g^{\alpha\beta}(0) = \delta^{\alpha\beta}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1, \tag{A.2}$$

and therefore $T_0 \partial M = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, equipped with the Euclidean metric. The unit tangent vector τ is then given by $\tau = (\tau', 0)$ where $\tau' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $|\tau'| = 1$. Associated to the tangent vector τ' is the covector $\xi'_\alpha = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} g_{\alpha\beta}(0)\tau'_\beta = \tau'_\alpha \in T^*_{x_0}\partial M$.

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ be a function such that supp (η) is in a small neighborhood of 0, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \eta(x', 0)^2 dx' = 1. \tag{A.3}$$

Following [4], in the boundary normal coordinates, we set

$$v_0(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{1/2}}\right) e^{\frac{i}{\lambda}(\tau' \cdot x' + i x_n)}, \quad 0 < \lambda \ll 1, \tag{A.4}$$

so that $v_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ with supp (v_0) in $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/2})$ neighborhood of $x_0 = 0$. Here τ' is viewed as a covector.

Let $v_1 \in H_0^1(M^0)$ be the solution to the following Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g v_1 =& \Delta_g v_0, \quad \text{in} \quad M, \\ v_1|_{\partial M} =& 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Let $\delta(x)$ be the distance from $x \in M$ to the boundary of M. Similarly to [4], we shall need the following estimates,

$$\|v_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{A.6}$$

$$\|v_1\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{A.7}$$

$$\|dv_1\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}}), \tag{A.8}$$

$$\|\delta dv_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{A.9}$$

$$\|dv_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{A.10}$$

$$\|\delta d(v_0 + v_1)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{A.11}$$

which we shall now proceed to prove. First, the following direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0\|^2_{L^2(M)} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \int_{|x| \leq c\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}, x_n \geq 0} e^{-\frac{2x_n}{\lambda}} dx' dx_n \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \int_0^\infty e^{-2t} \lambda dt \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}+1}), \end{aligned}$$

gives the bound (A.6). The bound (A.9) is implied by the following estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta dv_0\|^2_{L^2(M)} \le \mathcal{O}(1)\|x_n dv_0\|^2_{L^2(M)} &\le \mathcal{O}(1)\int_{|x|\le c\lambda^{\frac12}, x_n\ge 0} x_n^2\lambda^{-2}e^{-\frac{2x_n}{\lambda}}dx'dx_n\\ &\le \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}+1})\int_0^\infty t^2e^{-2t}dt \le \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}+1}).\end{aligned}$$

The bound (A.10) can be shown similarly.

To prove the bound (A.7), since the boundary of M is smooth, we shall proceed a bit differently than in [4], relying on the partial hypoellipticity of elliptic equations. Specifically applying [11, Theorem 26.3] to the Dirichlet problem (A.5), we conclude that

$$\|v_1+v_0\|_{L^2(M)} \le C\|v_0\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\partial M)}. \tag{A.12}$$

To estimate $\|v_0\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\partial M)}$, we first notice that

$$\mathcal{F}_{x'\to\xi'}(v_0(x',0))(\xi') = \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\mathcal{F}_{x'\to\xi'}(\eta(x',0))(\lambda^{\frac12}\xi'-\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau') =: \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widetilde{\eta}(\lambda^{\frac12}\xi'-\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau'). \tag{A.13}$$

Then making the change of variables $z=\lambda^{\frac12}\xi'-\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_0\|^2_{H^{-\frac12}(\partial M)} &\le \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n-1})\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{1}{1+|\xi'|}|\widetilde{\eta}(\lambda^{\frac12}\xi'-\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau')|^2d\xi'\\ &= \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}})\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{\lambda^{\frac12}}{\lambda^{\frac12}+|z+\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau'|}|\widetilde{\eta}(z)|^2dz = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(J_1+J_2),\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 &:= \int_{|z|\le\lambda^{-\frac12}/2}\frac{\lambda^{\frac12}}{\lambda^{\frac12}+|z+\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau'|}|\widetilde{\eta}(z)|^2dz,\\ J_2 &:= \int_{|z|\ge\lambda^{-\frac12}/2}\frac{\lambda^{\frac12}}{\lambda^{\frac12}+|z+\lambda^{-\frac12}\tau'|}|\widetilde{\eta}(z)|^2dz.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\widetilde{\eta}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, we

$$\begin{aligned}|J_1| &\le \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}|\widetilde{\eta}(z)|^2dz \le \mathcal{O}(\lambda),\\ |J_2| &\le \int_{|z|\ge\lambda^{-\frac12}/2}|\widetilde{\eta}(z)|^2dz = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^N),\quad \forall N>0.\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

It follows from (A.14) and (A.15) that

$$\|v_0\|_{H^{-\frac12}(\partial M)} \le \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac12}),$$

and therefore, this estimate together with (A.12) and (A.6) gives (A.7).

Let us now prove the bound (A.8). Applying the Lax–Milgram lemma to (A.5), we get

$$\|v_1\|_{H^1_0(M^0)} \le C\|\Delta_g v_0\|_{H^{-1}(M^0)}, \tag{A.16}$$

and therefore, to show (A.8) we have to estimate $\|\Delta_g v_0\|_{H^{-1}(M^0)}$. In doing so, let $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(M^0)$. Then we get

$$|(f(x)D_{x_n}v_0+R(x,D_{x'})v_0,\varphi)_{L^2(M)}| \le C\|v_0\|_{L^2(M)}\|\varphi\|_{H^1(M^0)},$$

and therefore,

$$\|f(x)D_{x_n}v_0+R(x,D_{x'})v_0\|_{H^{-1}(M^0)} \le C\|v_0\|_{L^2(M)}. \tag{A.17}$$

In view of (A.1) and (A.2) it remains to consider

$$P := D_{x_n}^2+\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1}g^{\alpha\beta}(x)D_{x_\alpha}D_{x_\beta} = -\Delta+\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)D_{x_\alpha}D_{x_\beta},$$

where $-\Delta$ is the Euclidean Laplacian. By Hardy's inequality, see [6],

$$\int_M |f(x)/\delta(x)|^2 dV_g \leq C \int_M |df(x)|^2 dV_g, \tag{A.18}$$

where $f \in H_0^1(M^0)$, we obtain that

$$|(Pv_0, \varphi)_{L^2(M)}| = |(\delta P v_0, \varphi/\delta)_{L^2(M)}| \leq C\|\delta P v_0\|_{L^2(M)} \|\varphi\|_{H_0^1(M^0)}.$$

Thus,

$$\|Pv_0\|_{H^{-1}(M^0)} \leq C\|\delta P v_0\|_{L^2(M)}. \tag{A.19}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_0 =& e^{\frac{i}{\lambda}(\tau'\cdot x' + i x_n)} \bigg[\lambda^{-1} (\Delta\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big) + 2i\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\nabla\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big) \cdot (\tau', i) \\ & - \lambda^{-2} (\tau', i)\cdot(\tau', i)\eta\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)\bigg] \\ =& e^{\frac{i}{\lambda}(\tau'\cdot x' + i x_n)} \bigg[\lambda^{-1} (\Delta\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big) + 2i\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\nabla\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big) \cdot (\tau', i)\bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

where we have used that $(\tau', i)\cdot(\tau', i) = 0$. We also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_\alpha} D_{x_\beta} v_0 =& e^{\frac{i}{\lambda}(\tau'\cdot x' + i x_n)} \bigg[\lambda^{-1} (D_{x_\alpha} D_{x_\beta}\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big) + \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} (D_{x_\alpha}\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)\tau_\beta \\ & + \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} (D_{x_\beta}\eta)\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)\tau_\alpha + \lambda^{-2}\tau_\alpha\tau_\beta\eta\Big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)\bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

Using (A.19), (A.20) and (A.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pv_0\|^2_{H^{-1}(M^0)} &\leq \mathcal{O}(1) \int_{|x|\leq c\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}, x_n \geq 0} x_n^2 e^{-\frac{2x_n}{\lambda}} (\lambda^{-3} + |x|^2\lambda^{-4}) dx \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\lambda^{-3}) \int_0^\infty x_n^2 e^{-\frac{2x_n}{\lambda}} dx_n \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}). \end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

Hence, by (A.17), (A.6) and (A.22), we obtain that

$$\|\Delta_g v_0\|_{H^{-1}(M^0)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}}).$$

This estimate together with (A.16) proves (A.8).

Finally, the estimate (A.11) follows by an application of Caccioppoli's inequality

$$\|\delta d(v_0 + v_1)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C\|v_0 + v_1\|_{L^2(M)},$$

see [12, Theorem 4.4], combined with (A.6) and (A.7).

Next we would like to show the existence of a solution $u_1 \in H^1(M^0)$ to the magnetic Schrödinger equation

$$L_{g, A^{(1)}, q^{(1)}} u_1 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(M^0), \tag{A.23}$$

of the form

$$u_1 = v_0 + v_1 + r_1, \tag{A.24}$$

with

$$\|r_1\|_{H^1(M^0)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}). \tag{A.25}$$

To that end, plugging (A.24) into (A.23), we obtain the following equation for r_1,

$$L_{g, A^{(1)}, q^{(1)}} r_1 = -id^*(A^{(1)}(v_0 + v_1)) + i\langle A^{(1)}, dv_0 + dv_1\rangle_g - (\langle A^{(1)}, A^{(1)}\rangle_g + q^{(1)})(v_0 + v_1)$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$. Applying Proposition 2.4 with $h > 0$ small but fixed, we conclude the existence of $r_1 \in H^1(M^0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|r_1\|_{H^1(M^0)} \leq C\| - id^*(A^{(1)}(v_0+v_1)) + i\langle A^{(1)}, dv_0 + dv_1\rangle_g \\ - (\langle A^{(1)}, A^{(1)}\rangle_g + q^{(1)})(v_0+v_1)\|_{H^{-1}(M^0)}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.26}$$

Let us now compute the norm in the right hand side of (A.26). Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(M^0)$. Then using (A.11) and (A.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle\langle A^{(1)}, dv_0 + dv_1\rangle_g, \psi\rangle_{M^0}| &\leq \mathcal{O}(1)\|A^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(M)}\|\delta d(v_0+v_1)\|_{L^2(M)}\|\psi/\delta\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}})\|d\psi\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H^1(M^0)}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.27}$$

Using (A.6) and (A.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle d^*(A^{(1)}(v_0+v_1)), \psi\rangle_{M^0}| &= \left|\int_{M^0}\langle d\psi, A^{(1)}(v_0+v_1)\rangle_g dV\right| \\ \leq \mathcal{O}(1)\|A^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(M)}\|v_0+v_1\|_{L^2(M)}\|d\psi\|_{L^2(M)} &\leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H^1(M^0)},\end{aligned} \tag{A.28}$$

and

$$|\langle(\langle A^{(1)}, A^{(1)}\rangle_g + q^{(1)})(v_0+v_1), \psi\rangle_{M^0}| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H^1(M^0)}. \tag{A.29}$$

The estimate (A.25) follows from (A.26), (A.27), (A.28), and (A.29).

Similarly, there exists a solution $u_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ of $L_{g,\overline{A^{(2)}},\overline{q^{(2)}}}u_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M^0)$ of the form

$$u_2 = v_0 + v_1 + r_2, \tag{A.30}$$

where $r_2 \in H^1(M^0)$ satisfies (A.25).

The next step is to substitute the solutions u_1 and u_2, given by (A.24) and (A.30) into the identity (4.3) of Proposition 4.3, multiply it by $\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}$ and compute the limit as $\lambda \to 0$. We write

$$I := \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, u_1 d\overline{u_2} - \overline{u_2}du_1\rangle_g dV = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5, \tag{A.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, v_0 d\overline{v_0} - \overline{v_0}dv_0\rangle_g dV, \\ I_2 &= \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, u_1 d\overline{v_1} - \overline{u_2}dv_1\rangle_g dV, \\ I_3 &= \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, u_1 d\overline{r_2} - \overline{u_2}dr_1\rangle_g dV, \\ I_4 &= \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, v_1 d\overline{v_0} - \overline{v_1}dv_0\rangle_g dV, \\ I_5 &= \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\int_M i\langle A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}, r_1 d\overline{v_0} - \overline{r_2}dv_0\rangle_g dV.\end{aligned}$$

Let us compute $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} I_1$. To that end we have

$$dv_0 = e^{\frac{i}{\lambda}(\tau'\cdot x' + ix_n)}\left[d\left(\eta\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right) + \eta\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\frac{i}{\lambda}(\tau' dx' + i dx_n)\right], \tag{A.32}$$

and

$$v_0 d\overline{v_0} - \overline{v_0}dv_0 = -2i\frac{1}{\lambda}\eta^2\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)e^{-\frac{2x_n}{\lambda}}\tau'\cdot dx'.$$

Making the change of variables $y' = \frac{x'}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, $y_n = \frac{x_n}{\lambda}$, using that $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ and (A.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\lambda\to 0} I_1 = 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1} \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} |g(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}y', \lambda y_n)|^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\alpha\beta}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}y', \lambda y_n) \\ (A^{(1)} - A^{(2)})_\alpha(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}y', \lambda y_n)\tau_\beta \eta^2(y', \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}y_n) e^{-2y_n} dy' dy_n \\ = |g(0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1} g^{\alpha\beta}(0)(A^{(1)}_\alpha(0) - A^{(2)}_\alpha(0))\tau_\beta = \langle A^{(1)}(0) - A^{(2)}(0), \tau\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{A.33}$$

Now it follows from (A.6), (A.7) and (A.25) that

$$\|u_j\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{A.34}$$

The estimates (A.8) and (A.34) give that

$$|I_2| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}})\|A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty(M)}(\|u_1\|_{L^2(M)} + \|u_2\|_{L^2(M)})\|dv_1\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{A.35}$$

By (A.25) and (A.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I_3| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}})\|A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty(M)}(\|u_1\|_{L^2(M)}\|dr_2\|_{L^2(M)} \\ + \|u_2\|_{L^2(M)}\|dr_1\|_{L^2(M)}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{A.36}$$

Using the fact that $v_1 \in H^1_0(M)$, Hardy's inequality (A.18), and the estimates (A.9), and (A.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}|I_4| &\leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}})\|A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty(M)}\|v_1/\delta\|_{L^2(M)}\|\delta dv_0\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}})\|dv_1\|_{L^2(M)}\|\delta dv_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}).\end{aligned} \tag{A.37}$$

Let us now estimate $|I_5|$. First, a direct computation shows that

$$\|v_0\|_{L^2(\partial M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}}). \tag{A.38}$$

Assume that (M, g) is embedded in a compact smooth manifold (N, g) without boundary of the same dimension. Let us extend $A := A^{(1)} - A^{(2)} \in C(M, T^*M)$ to a continuous 1-form on N, and we shall write $A \in C(N, T^*N)$. Using a partition of unity argument together with a regularization in each coordinate patch, we get that there exists a family $A_\tau \in C^\infty(N, T^*N)$ such that

$$\|A - A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = o(1), \quad \tau \to 0, \tag{A.39}$$

and

$$\|A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \|\nabla A_\tau\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}), \quad \tau \to 0. \tag{A.40}$$

Let us consider

$$J := \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \int_M i\langle A, r_1 d\overline{v_0}\rangle_g dV = J_1 + J_2,$$

where

$$J_1 = \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \int_M i\langle (A - A_\tau), r_1 d\overline{v_0}\rangle_g dV, \quad J_2 = \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \int_M i\langle A_\tau, r_1 d\overline{v_0}\rangle_g dV.$$

Using (A.25), (A.10), and (A.39), we get

$$|J_1| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}})\|A - A_\tau\|_{L^\infty(M)}\|r_1\|_{L^2(M)}\|dv_0\|_{L^2(M)} = o(1),$$

as $\tau \to 0$. To estimate J_2, it is no longer sufficient to use the bound (A.10), and therefore, we shall integrate by parts. We get

$$J_2 = J_{2,1} + J_{2,2} + J_{2,3},$$

where

$$J_{2,1} = -\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \int_M i \operatorname{div}(A_\tau^\sharp) r_1 \overline{v_0} dV, \quad J_{2,2} = -\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \int_M i \langle A_\tau, dr_1 \rangle_g \overline{v_0} dV,$$
$$J_{2,3} = \lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \int_{\partial M} i \langle A_\tau, \nu \rangle_g r_1 \overline{v_0} dS.$$

Here $A_\tau^\sharp = g^{jk}(A_\tau)_j \partial_{x_k}$. By (A.6), (A.25), and (A.40), we have

$$|J_{2,1}| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}) \|\nabla_g A_\tau\|_{L^\infty(M)} \|r_1\|_{L^2(M)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}\lambda),$$

and

$$|J_{2,2}| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}) \|A_\tau\|_{L^\infty(M)} \|dr_1\|_{L^2(M)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda).$$

Using the trace theorem, the estimates (A.25) and (A.38), we get

$$|J_{2,3}| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}}) \|\langle A_\tau, \nu \rangle_g\|_{L^\infty(\partial M)} \|r_1\|_{H^1(M)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\partial M)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/2}).$$

Choosing $\tau = \lambda^{1/2}$, we conclude that $|J| = o(1)$ as $\lambda \to 0$, and therefore,

$$|I_5| = o(1), \quad \lambda \to 0. \tag{A.41}$$

Hence, for I, defined by (A.31), using (A.33), (A.35), (A.36), (A.37), and (A.41), we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} I = \langle A^{(1)}(0) - A^{(2)}(0), \tau \rangle. \tag{A.42}$$

Furthermore, for u_1 and u_2, given by (A.24) and (A.30), respectively, using (A.34), we obtain that

$$\lambda^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \left| \int_M (\langle A^{(1)}, A^{(1)} \rangle_g - \langle A^{(2)}, A^{(2)} \rangle_g + q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}) u_1 \overline{u_2} dV \right| \leq \mathcal{O}(\lambda). \tag{A.43}$$

Thus, we conclude from (4.3) with the help of (A.42) and (A.43) that $\langle A^{(1)}(0) - A^{(2)}(0), \tau \rangle = 0$. The proof of Proposition A.1 is complete. □

Acknowledgements

The research of K.K. is partially supported by the National Science Foundation (DMS 1500703). The research of G.U. is partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Simons Fellowship, and the Academy of Finland.

References

[1] Anikonov, Yu. E., *Some methods for the study of multidimensional inverse problems for differential equations*, Nauka Sibirsk. Otdel., Novosibirsk, 1978.
[2] Assylbekov, Y., Yang, Y., *Determining the first order perturbation of a polyharmonic operator on admissible manifolds*, J. Differential Equations **262** (2017), no. 1, 590–614.
[3] Babich, V., Buldyrev, V., *Short-wavelength diffraction theory. Asymptotic methods*, Springer Series on Wave Phenomena, 4. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
[4] Brown, R., Salo, M., *Identifiability at the boundary for first-order terms*, Appl. Anal. **85** (2006), no. 6-7, 735–749.
[5] Cekić, M., *The Calderón problem for connections*, preprint 2016, https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02985.
[6] Davies, E. B., *Sharp boundary estimates for elliptic operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **129** (2000), no. 1, 165–178.
[7] Dos Santos Ferreira, D., Kenig, C., Sjöstrand, J., and Uhlmann, G., *Determining a magnetic Schrödinger operator from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 2, 467–488.
[8] Dos Santos Ferreira, D., Kenig, C., and Salo, M., *Determining an unbounded potential from Cauchy data in admissible geometries*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **38** (2013), no. 1, 50–68.
[9] Dos Santos Ferreira, D., Kenig, C., Salo, M., Uhlmann, G., *Limiting Carleman weights and anisotropic inverse problems*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 1, 119–171.
[10] Dos Santos Ferreira, D., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., Salo, M., *The Calderón problem in transversally anisotropic geometries*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **18** (2016), no. 11, 2579–2626.

[11] Eskin, G., *Lectures on linear partial differential equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 123. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
[12] Giaquinta, M., Martinazzi, L., *An introduction to the regularity theory for elliptic systems, harmonic maps and minimal graphs*, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2012.
[13] Guillarmou, C., *Lens rigidity for manifolds with hyperbolic trapped sets*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 2, 561–599.
[14] Guillarmou, C., Tzou, L., *Identification of a connection from Cauchy data on a Riemann surface with boundary*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **21** (2011), no. 2, 393–418.
[15] Haberman, B., *Unique determination of a magnetic Schrödinger operator with unbounded magnetic potential from boundary data*, to appear in IMRN.
[16] Hörmander, L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[17] Katchalov, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., *Inverse boundary spectral problems*, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 123, Chapman Hall/CRC, 2001.
[18] Kenig, C., Salo, M., *The Calderón problem with partial data on manifolds and applications*, Anal. PDE **6** (2013), no. 8, 2003–2048.
[19] Kenig, C., Sjöstrand, J., Uhlmann, G., *The Calderón problem with partial data*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 567–591.
[20] Knudsen, K., Salo, M., *Determining nonsmooth first order terms from partial boundary measurements*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **1** (2007), no. 2, 349–369.
[21] Koch, H., Tataru, D., *Carleman estimates and unique continuation for second-order elliptic equations with nonsmooth coefficients*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), no. 3, 339–360.
[22] Krupchyk, K., Lassas, M., Uhlmann, G., *Inverse boundary value problems for the perturbed polyharmonic operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 1, 95–112.
[23] Krupchyk, K., Uhlmann, G., *Uniqueness in an inverse boundary problem for a magnetic Schrödinger operator with a bounded magnetic potential*, Comm. Math. Phys. **327** (2014), no. 3, 993–1009.
[24] Lebeau, G.,*Introduction aux inégalités de Carleman*, Control and stabilization of partial differential equations, 51–92, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2015.
[25] Lee, J., Uhlmann, G., *Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), no. 8, 1097–1112.
[26] McLean, W., *Hölder estimates for the Cauchy integral on a Lipschitz contour*, J. Integral Equations Appl. **1** (1988), no. 3, 435–451.
[27] Mukhometov, R., *The reconstruction problem of a two-dimensional Riemannian metric, and integral geometry*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 232, 32–35 (1977) (in Russian).
[28] Nakamura, G., Sun, Z., and Uhlmann, G., *Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no. 3, 377–388.
[29] Panchenko, A., *An inverse problem for the magnetic Schrödinger equation and quasi-exponential solutions of non-smooth partial differential equations*, Inverse Problems **18** (2002), no. 5, 1421–1434.
[30] Paternain, G., *Inverse problems for connections*, Inverse problems and applications: inside out. II, 369–409, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 60, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013.
[31] Ralston, J., *Approximate eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*, J. Differential Geometry **12** (1977), no. 1, 87–100.
[32] Ralston, J., *Gaussian beams and the propagation of singularities*, Studies in partial differential equations, 206–248, MAA Stud. Math., 23, Math. Assoc. America, Washington, DC, 1982.
[33] de Rham, G., *Differentiable manifolds. Forms, currents, harmonic forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 266, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
[34] Salo, M., *Inverse problems for nonsmooth first order perturbations of the Laplacian*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. **139** (2004).
[35] Salo, M., Tzou, L., *Carleman estimates and inverse problems for Dirac operators*, Math. Ann. **344** (2009), no. 1, 161–184.
[36] Sharafutdinov, V., *Integral geometry of tensor fields*, Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht (1994).
[37] Sharafutdinov, V., *On emission tomography of inhomogeneous media*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **55**, 707–718 (1995).
[38] Sjöstrand, J., *Eigenvalue distribution for non-self-adjoint operators with small multiplicative random perturbations*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **18** (2009), no. 4, 739–795.
[39] Stefanov, P., Uhlmann, G., Vasy, A, *Inverting the local geodesic X-ray transform on tensors*, Journal d'Analyse Math., to appear.
[40] Sun, Z., *An inverse boundary value problem for Schrödinger operators with vector potentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), no. 2, 953–969.
[41] Sun, Z., Uhlmann, G., *Recovery of singularities for formally determined inverse problems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **153** (1993), no. 3, 431–445.
[42] Sylvester, J., Uhlmann, G., *A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), no. 1, 153–169.

[43] Taylor, M., *Partial differential equations I. Basic theory*, Second edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 115. Springer, New York, 2011.
[44] Tolmasky, C., *Exponentially growing solutions for nonsmooth first-order perturbations of the Laplacian*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **29** (1998), no. 1, 116–133.
[45] Uhlmann, G., Vasy, A., *The inverse problem for the local geodesic ray transform*, Invent. Math. **205** (2016), no. 1, 83–120.
[46] Zworski, M., *Semiclassical analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

K. Krupchyk, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA

E-mail address: katya.krupchyk@uci.edu

G. Uhlmann, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, USA, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, Finland, and Institute for Advanced Study of the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

E-mail address: gunther@math.washington.edu